

# Assessment of indoor SPM in kitchens of household using different modes of cooking in Jammu, India

**Raj Kumar Rampal** is and Anjana Chib

**Received: 21.02.2013** 

Accepted: 11.7.2013

### Abstract

The present study has been carried out to assess indoor SPM levels in kitchens of the household using different modes of cooking in Jammu using handy air sampler (Envirotech APM 821) with air flow rate of 1.5 LPM from 6am to 2pm. All the kitchens with different modes of cooking exhibited values of indoor SPM higher than the prescribed CPCB values of 200  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. Complied average values of SPM were used to calculate IPI (Indoor Pollution Index). The calculated values of indoor SPM in all the four types of kitchens were observed to be statistically significant (p <0.05).

Keywords: assessment, indoor air pollution, SPM, indoor pollution index

## Introduction

Indoor air pollution is the fourth-leading cause of premature death in the developing world. Women and their small children are at increased risk due to the amount of time spent close to the stove in the kitchen. Indoor air pollution was responsible for more than 1.6 million annual deaths and 2.7% of global burden of diseases (WHO, 2006). Indoor air pollution from solid fuel use is the tenth largest threat to public health (WHO, 2007a). Hence, exposure to indoor air pollution from the combustion of biofuels is a significant public health hazard predominately affecting the poor in both rural and urban communities in developing countries. There is strong evidence that smoke from biofuels can cause acute lower respiratory infection in childhood. (Fullerton et al. 2008, WHO 2006, 2007b, Smith et al. 2000, 2004, Smith and Mehta, 2003).Indoor air pollution from the burning of solid fuels kills over 1.6 million people predominately women and children each year. This is more than three people per minute. It is a death toll almost as great as that caused by unsafe water and sanitation and greater than that caused by malaria. Women are two to four times more likely to suffer chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) when exposed to indoor smoke pollution. Some studies suggested that women who cook over indoor wood

Author's Address Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Jammu (J&K) Email: rajkrampal@gmail.com fires were 2.5 times more likely to have active tuberculosis (Warwick and Doig, 2004).In the present study attempt has been made to assess indoor SPM levels in kitchen of the households using different modes of cooking in Jammu so as to propose suggestive measures regarding indoor air pollution abatement strategies.

## **Material and Methods**

The study area was divided into four zones to cover all the households using different modes of cooking in the kitchens i.e Zone I (HL- Household using LPG in the kitchen), Zone II (HH- Household using electric heater in the kitchen), Zone III (HF-Household using fuelwood in the kitchen) and Zone IV (HK- Household using kerosene oil in the kitchen). Five households were selected randomly and in each household sampling of air was done thrice to determine indoor SPM using handy air sampler (Envirotech APM 821) with air flow rate of 1.5 LPM.The sampling was done eight hourly. (6am to 2pm). The SPM was trapped on the preweighed oven dried glass Millipore micro-fiber filter paper attached to the tube assembly of air sampler. Precisa balance was used for weighing the filter paper before and after sampling.

The SPM in  $\mu g/m^3$  was calculated using following formula.

Where,  $W_2$  and  $W_1$  = Final and Initial weights of emissions from biomass fuel far exceeded the recommended safe limit. The kitchen using LPG i.e

V = Volume of air in litres

 $F_1$  and  $F_2$  = Initial and final rates of air flow in LPM which was converted into m<sup>3</sup>/min using conversion formula.

$$1LPM = 10^{-3}m^{3}/min$$

T = Time of sampling in minutes

Complied average values of SPM were used to calculate IPI using formula (Moghissi, 1991) IPI =  $1/n (C_1/L_1 + C_2/L_2....C_n/L_n)$ 

Where, IPI = Indoor Pollution Index

- C = Concentration of pollutants
- L = Concentration limit for pollutants n = no. of pollutants included in the
- computation

The ranges used were

- 0.0 0.1 Good
- 0.1 0.2 Moderate
- 0.2 0.4 –Unhealthy
- 0.3 and above -Hazardous

## **Results and disscusion**

The analysis of indoor SPM data of households using different modes of cooking in the kitchens revealed that kitchen using fuelwood i.e Zone III (HF) exhibited maximum average indoor SPM of  $1435.54 \pm 849.47 \mu g/m^3$  with a range of 617.28- $3456.79 \mu g/m^3$  followed by Zone IV (HK) i.e kitchen using kerosene oil which exhibited average indoor SPM of 710.06  $\pm$  180.37µg/m<sup>3</sup> with a range of  $549.45 - 1098.90 \mu g/m^3$  (Table 1). (Balakrishnan et al. 2002) also observed concentration of respirable particulate matter to be ranged from 500  $-2000 \ \mu g/m^3$  during cooking in biomass using households in four districts of Tamil Nadu.Jiang and Bell (2008) also made conclusion that biomass burning for cooking contributed substantially to indoor particulate levels in urban and sub-urban households in North - Eastern China.Lim and Seow (2012) also reported that particulate matter

recommended safe limit. The kitchen using LPG i.e Zone I (HL) exhibited average indoor SPM of  $376.79 \pm 140.98 \ \mu g/m^3$  with a range of 154.32 -641.02µg/m<sup>3</sup> and minimum average indoor SPM of  $262.08 \pm 95.90 \ \mu g/m^3$  with a range of 155.27- $480.76\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> was exhibited by Zone II (HH) i.e kitchen using electric heater as mode of cooking. Rollin et al. (2004) also observed that the proportion of dwellings with a detectable 24-h concentration of RSP (Respirable suspended particulate) was significantly higher in unelectified (48.1%) than electrified dwellings (24.5%) i.e introduction of electricity for cooking has significantly reduced RSP (Respirable suspended particulate) in kitchen. But at same time all the kitchens with different modes of cooking exhibited values of indoor SPM higher than the prescribed CPCB values of 200  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 1). Mohan *et al.* (1992) also observed values of indoor RSPM above the prescribed levels. Cattaneo et al. (2011)also reported exceeded indoor PM10 levels than recommended guidelines in 600 homes in the Lodi province Italy. The critical analysis of compiled data of different kitchens of the study area revealed that LPG using kitchen with electrical chimney fitted ventilators exhibited minimum indoor SPM of  $230.15 \pm 90.14 \ \mu g/m^3$  with a range of 166.66 -333.33µg/m<sup>3</sup> followed by LPG using kitchen with exhaust fan fitted ventilator exhibited average indoor SPM of 390.096  $\pm$  119.54µg/m<sup>3</sup> with a range of 154.32- 501.67µg/m3 whereas the LPG using kitchen with ventilator without exhaust fan exhibited higher value of  $483.51 \pm 153.97 \ \mu g/m^3$ with a range of  $333.33 - 641.02 \mu g/m^3$ . From this critical analysis of the data it can be clearly concluded that ventilators with improved mechanical devices for the removal of emissions during cooking played significant role in the abatement of indoor SPM in the kitchens as well as households. Though all the three types of LPG using kitchens exhibited indoor SPM levels above the prescribed limits of  $200\mu g/m^3$  as laid down by CPCB. The critical analysis of complied data further revealed that electric heater using kitchen with exhaust fan fitted ventilators exhibited lowest indoor SPM (160.25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) within the prescribed limits and electric heaterusing kitchen with ventilator without exhaust fan exhibited higher values of indoor SPM (276.55  $\pm$  65.35µg/m<sup>3</sup>)



#### Assessment of Indoor SPM in kitchens of Households

whereas electric heater using kitchens without the prescribed limits of CPCB and further conclude ventilator exhibited highest indoor SPM (320.5  $\pm$  that mechanical ventilators remove emissions more 160.25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and both these values were above efficiently.

House No.	Average indoor SPM(µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) in kitchen using LPG(Zone HL)	Average indoor SPM (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) in kitchen using electric heater (Zone HH)	Average indoor SPM (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) in kitchen using fuelwood (Zone HF)	Average indoor SPM (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) in kitchen using kerosene (Zone HK)
House No. 1	483.51 ±153.97	265.92± 83.75	2644.03±740.95	827.83±107.92
	(333.33-641.02)	(170.94-329.21)	(2006.17-3456.79)	(761.9-952.38)
House No.2	423.38±99.37	258.79± 89.65	1581.90±495.19	572.92±92.98
	(308.64-480.76)	(155.27-310.55)	(1010.1-1867.81)	(480.7-666.66)
House No.3	421.41 ±87.83 (320.51-480.76)	$160.25 \pm 0.00$	1561.64±841.49 (1005.74-2529.76)	866.91±284.52 (549.45-1098.90)
House No.4	325.5±173.72	304.94±13.47	695.06± 95.23	596.14±100.74
	(154.32-501.67)	(297.16-320.5)	(617.23-801.28)	(480.76-666.66)
House No.5	230.15±90.14	320.5±160.25	695.06 ± 95.23	686.52±100.08
	(166.66-333.33)	(160.25-480.76)	(617.23-801.28)	(617.28-801.28)
Average indoor SPM ( $\mu g/m^3$ )	376.79±140.98	262.08±95.90	1435.54±849.47	710.06±180.37
	(154.32-641.02)	(155.27-480.76)	(617.28-3456.79)	(549.45-1098.90)

Table 1: Average indoor SPM in kitchens of study area

On the comparative basis the fuelwood using Table 2: Indoor Pollution Index (IPI) for SPM kitchens without ventilator exhibited three fold higher values  $(1929.19 \pm 814.30 \mu g/m^3)$  of indoor SPM as compared with that of  $(695.07 \pm$  $85.17\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) fuelwood using kitchen with ventilator without exhaust fan. This signifies the importance of ventilators. Murray et al. (2012) observed that biomass cooking fuels were an important risk factor for ALRI (Acute Lower Respiratory Infection) beside the influence of natural household ventilation conditions. The critical compilation of data revealed that the kerosene oil using kitchens without ventilator exhibited higher value (776.715  $\pm$  214.82 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) of indoor SPM as compared with that  $(665.63 \pm 150.03 \mu g/m^3)$  of kerosene oil using kitchen with ventilator without exhaust fan which further signifies the importance of ventilation. The calculation of Indoor Air Pollution Index (IPI) for only SPM for all the kitchens of study area rated values well above 0.4 i.e 1.88 for LPG using kitchen, 1.31 for electric heater using kitchen, 7.17 for fuelwood using kitchen, 3.55 for kerosene oil using kitchen and all these values were read off as 'hazardous' (Table 2). The statistical analysis of data of average indoor SPM using T-test revealed

Zone/ Type of kitchen	IPI for SPM	Status	
Zone HL (kitchen using LPG)	1.88	Hazardous	
Zone HH (kitchen using Electric Heater)	1.31	Hazardous	
Zone HF (kitchen using Fuelwood)	7.17	Hazardous	
Zone HK (kitchen using Kerosene oil)	3.55 Hazardou		

that all the differences in the values of average indoor SPM in all the four types studied kitchens were significant (p < 0.05) (Table 3).



#### Rampal and Chib

	Mean Difference	Std. deviation	Sig. 2-tailed (p)	Statistical Value(p<0.05)
LPG –Electric heater	114.71	179.17	0.026	Significant
LPG –Fuelwood	-1058.75	812.73	.000	Significant
LPG – Kerosene oil	-333.27	207.33	.000	Significant
Electric heater – Kerosene oil	-447.99	224.24	.000	Significant
Fuelwood–Kerosene oil	725.47	817.25	.004	Significant
Fuelwood–Electric heater	1173.46	895.43	.000	Significant

Table 3: T-Test: paired two samples for means of SPM.

## Overall from the above analysis, it is • concluded

- Households with fuelwood using kitchens without ventilators exhibited maximum concentration of SPM (1929.19  $\pm$  814.30  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Households with electric heater using kitchen with exhaust fan fitted ventilators exhibited minimum concentration of SPM (160.25 µg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Within the households with LPG using kitchens, the kitchens with electric chimney exhibited minimum value of SPM  $(230.15\pm90.14 \,\mu g/m^3)$
- With same conditions of ventilation i.e kitchens with ventilators without exhaust fan the fuelwood using kitchens exhibited highest value of indoor SPM i.e 695.07± 85.17µg/m<sup>3</sup> followed
  by kerosene oil using kitchen exhibiting value of 665.63 ± 150.03µg/m<sup>3</sup>, LPG using kitchen
  exhibiting value of 483.51 ± 153.97µg/m<sup>3</sup> and lowest value of 276.55 ± 65.35µg/m<sup>3</sup> was
  exhibited by heater using kitchen.
- With same mode of cooking with different conditions of ventilation electric heater using kitchen without ventilator exhibited highest value of indoor SPM ( $320.5 \pm 160.25\mu g/m^3$ ) followed by electric heater using kitchen with ventilator without exhaust fan exhibiting value of  $276.55 \pm 65.35 \ \mu g/m^3$  and lowest SPM of  $160.25\mu g/m^3$  was exhibited by heater using kitchen with exhaust fan fitted ventilator.

 All the kitchens with different modes of cooking exhibited values of indoor SPM higher than the prescribed CPCB values of 200 µg/m<sup>3</sup>

## Suggestive measures

The concentration of indoor air pollutants can be reduced by the use of

- improved cooking stoves,
- use of smoke hoods, chimney stoves,
- better behavioural practices such as using dried wood, use of smaller size fuelwood, use of lidsduring cooking, use of pressure cooker to reduce cooking time,
- regular maintenance of the stove, chimney and hood,
- use of cheap and clean sources for cooking such as biogas,
- well ventilated kitchens.

With these approaches, the indoor air pollution can be reduced thereby decreasing the risk of health hazards to the people.

## References

Balakrishnan, K., J. Parikh, S. Sankar, R. Padmavathi, K. Srividya, V. Venugopal, S. Prasad and V.L. Pandey 2002. Daily Average Exposures to Respirable Particulate Matter from Combustion of Biomass Fuels in Rural Households of Southern India. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 110(11): 1069-1075.



- Cattaneo, A., C. Peruzzo, G. Garramone, P. Urso, R. Ruggeri, P. Carrer and D.M. Cavallo 2011. Airborne particulate matter and gaseous air pollutants in residential structures in Lodi province, Italy.*Indoor Air*, 21(6): 489–500.
- \*Fullerton, D.G., N. Bruce and S.B. Gordon 2008. Indoor air pollution from biomass fuel smoke is a major health concern in the developing world. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* ,102(9): 843-851.
- Jiang, R. and M. L. Bell 2008. A Comparison of Particulate Matter from Biomass-Burning Rural and Non-Biomass-Burning Urban Households in North-eastern China. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(7): 907-914.
- Lim W. Y. and A. Seow 2012.Biomass fuels and lung cancer. *Respirology*, 17: 20-31
- \*Moghissi, A.A. 1991.Strategies for Development of Indoor Air Quality Standards.*Environment International*, 17: 365-370.
- \*Mohan, J., A. Gadgil and N.J. Pawar 1992. Indoor air quality assessment atselected locations in Pune city.*Indian Journal of Environmental Health*, 34(3): 209-213.
- Murray, E.L., L. Brondi, D. Kleinbaum, J.E. McGowan, C. Van Mels, W.A. Brooks, D. Goswami, P.B. Ryan and M. Klein 2012. Cooking fuel type, household ventilation, and the risk of acute lower respiratory illness in urban Bangladeshi children: a longitudinal study. *Indoor Air*,22(2): 132–139.
- Rollin, H.B., A. Mathee, N. Bruce, J. Levin, and Y.E.R. Von Schirnding 2004.Comparison of indoor air quality in electrified and un-electrified dwellings in rural South African villages. *Indoor Air*, 14: 208–216.

- \*Smith, K.R., J.M. Samet, I. Romieu and N. Bruce 2000."Indoor air pollution in developing countries and acute lower respiratory infections in children."*Thorax*,55 (6): 518-532.
- Smith, K.R. and S. Mehta 2003. The burden of disease from indoor air pollution in developing countries: comparison of estimates. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 20: 279–289.
- Smith K.R., S. Mehta, M. Maeusezahl-Feuz 2004. Indoor smoke from household solid fuels. In: Ezzati M, Rodgers AD, Lopez AD, Murray CJL (eds) Comparative quantification of health risks: Global and regional burden of disease due to selected major risk factors, World Health Organization, Geneva. 2: 1435-1493.
- Warwick, H. and A. Doig 2004. Smoke The Killer in the kitchen: Indoor Air Pollution in Developing countries. ITDG Publishing Southampton Row, London, UK: 39.
- World Health Organization 2006.*Fuel for Life Household Energy and Health*.WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organization 2007a. Indoor Air Pollution Takes Heavy Toll on Health, Geneva, Available at:<u>http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/notes/2007/np20/en/index.html</u>
- World Health Organization 2007b.*Indoor air pollution and lower respiratory tract infections in children*.WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.

