

Floristic analysis of Chiktan Valley in Kargil district, Jammu and Kashmir

Anil K. Raina⊠ and Abdul Hamid

Received:15.05.2014

Revised: 25.07.2014

Accepted:03.08.2014

Abstract

Floristic analysis has been carried out separately for the plain area on the sides of a small stream (Kangi nallah) and mountainous region flanking the stream on both the sides in Chiktan valley of Kargil district in Ladakh region of J&K. A total of 79 plant species belonging to 65 genera and 36 families were recorded from the whole study area which included 48 species (40 herbs and 8 shrubs) from the plain area and 37 species (18 herbs and 19 shrubs) from the mountainous area. Six species had exhibited their presence in both the plain as well as the mountainous region. There were 23 families which were monotypic i.e. these are represented by single genera and single species in the area. Asteraceae has been found to be the dominant family with 16 species and 14 genera followed by Fabaceae (8 species and 7 genera) and Rosaceae (7 species and 3 genera). Dominant genera present in the area are *Potentilla* with 4 species followed by *Draba* and *Pedicularis* (3 species is 0.45 and genera to species is 0.82. Comparison of diversity indices of the two regions showed that species richness and dominance is more in plain region and species diversity is more in mountainous region.

Keywords: Chiktan valley, Diversity indices, Floristic analysis, Kargil, Kanji nallah, Ladakh

Introduction

India - a land of physical, cultural, social and linguistic diversity has enormous biological diversity; as a result it is regarded as one of the seventeen mega biodiversity countries of the world. With only 2.4% of the world's area. India accounts for 7-8% of the world's recorded plant (about 45,000, of which approximately 15,000 are of known medicinal value) and animal species (about 91,000). About 5,150 plant species (33%) and 1,837 animal species are endemic to India (MoEF, 2010). Ladakh, the cold desert, is located between the Greater Himalaya in the south- east and the Karakoram Range in the north. The cold desert of Ladakh is characterised by prolonged sub zero temperature, low annual precipitation mostly in the form of snow, less fertile sandy soil with very low water holding capacity, sparsely distributed plant diversity, high insolation, rugged terrain, low humidity (< 30%), low oxygen, and high wind velocity. The plants of this zone show an adaptation to these conditions and are generally dwarfed,

Author's Address Deptt of Environmental Sciences, University of Jammu, Jammu E-mail:anilkraina@yahoo.com stunted, woolly or spiny and develop a mosaic patch of different forms (Rhoades and Thompson, 1975; Walker et al., 1994). The plants are dominated by herbs followed by shrub and bushes. The plants start to grow towards the end of harsh winter when there is abundant sunlight and moisture. There is a short vegetative span ranging from several days to a few months (Bowman and Damm, 2002). The trans-Himalayan (Western Himalaya and Eastern Himalaya) region are considered as one of the most ecologically fragile bio geographic zones in India as the species there are highly vulnerable (Rodgers and Panwar, 1988). They are potentially under threat of biodiversity loss from global warming and unscientific exploitation (Sharma et al., 2010; La Sorte and Jetz, 2010). The present study has been carried out in Chiktan Valley of Kargil district which constitutes one of the administrative sub division of the district. Chiktan has a population of around 5,500 with a relatively decent literacy rate. The total area of the block is around 12 square kilometer. The whole block consists of six villages namely Khangral, Samrah, Chiktan, Hagnis, Shaker and then Sanjak which are situated at regular intervals

after about every 1 to 1.5 km on the banks of a small stream called Kanji Nallah surrounded on both the sides by medium and large undulating hilly slopes. The stream originates from a small glacier located in Kanji/Hinaskut wild life sanctuary which falls under the jurisdiction of Khangral village on the Kargil- Leh highway NH-1D and after passing through these villages joins river Indus at village Sanjak (Fig. 1). Although the district combines the condition of both arctic and desert climate yet the climate of this valley can be divided into three micro climate viz severe cold and dry climate of Khangral, relatively mild climate of Chiktan, Samrah, Hagnis and Shaker and relatively warm climate of Sanjak with fertile soil. Chiktan valley has not been explored for its floristic elements. However, few studies on phytodiversity have been carried out in other similar region by Srivastava (2010), Shaheen et al., (2011) and Khan et al.,

(2012). Therefore, present attempt has been made to explore phytodiversity of the area.

Material and Methods

Chiktan Valley (34°22'33.4"-34°34'45.7" N latitude and 76°31'22"-76°31'43.79"E longitude), located on Kargil-Leh left side of highway near Kanji/Hinaskut Wildlife sanctuary, is about half kilometer wide and traversed by Kanji Nallah which is flanked on both sides by medium and large undulating hilly slopes. For studying the floristic composition of the area, a number of field trips were undertaken from July to September 2012 and also repeated in 2013 to cover all the possible habitats. Plant species have been collected and compiled separately for the plain area on the sides of the stream (Kanji nallah) and mountainous region flanking the stream on both the sides of the valley.







Plants have also been photographed to depict floral status. Diversity indices which helps in secondary analysis of the vegetation was calculated using Margalef's Index(1968), Menhinick's Index (1964), Simpson's Index (1949) and Shannon and Wiener index (1949). The index of similarity between the two regions has been calculated using Sorenson's index (1948) and Jaccard index (1901). For the purpose of identification of plants, various local, regional and national floras and herbarium were used besides consulting taxonomic experts of the region. Majority of the plants were identified by their vernacular names with the help of village elders.

Results and Discussion

The plant species recorded from the study region has been depicted in Table -1. No tree has been observed in the area. Herb and Shrub species recorded separately for the plain as well as mountainous region of the study area have been depicted in tables 2 to 5 with their vernacular names and family names. The perusal of table 1 revealed the presence of 79 species belonging to 65 genera and 36 families. Asteraceae has been found to be the dominant family with 16 species and 14 genera followed by Fabaceae (8 species and 7 genera) and Rosaceae (7 species and 3 genera).

 Table 1: Total number of family, genera and species present in Chiktan valley

S. No	Family	Genera	Species
1.	Alliaceae	1. Allium	1. A. przewalskianum Regel
2.	Apiaceae	2. Tetrataenium	2. T. pinnatum (C.B.Clarke) Manden
3.	Asteraceae	3. Cirsium	3. C. arvense(L.) Scop.
		4. Taraxacum	4. T. officinale Webb
		5. Scorzonera	5. S. virgata DC
		6. Echinops	6. E. cornigerus DC
		7. Cichorium	7. C. intybus L.
		8. Aster	8. A. flaccidus Bunge
			9. A. molliusculus (Lindl. ex DC.) C.B.Clarke
		9. Ajania	10. A. tibetica (Hook.f. & Thomson) Tzvelev
			11. A. gmelinii Weber
		10. Artemisia	12. A.stracheyi Hook.f. & Thomson ex C.B.Clarke
		11. Leontopodium	13. L. jacotianum Beauverd
		12. Pyrethrum	14. P. pyrethroides (Kar. &Kir.) B.Fedtsch. ex Krasch.
		13. Inula	15 I. racemosa Hook.f.
		14.Saussurea	16. S. heteromalla (D.Don) HandMazz.
		15.Cousinia	17. C. thomsonii C.B.Clarke
		16 Anaphalis	18. A.triplinervis(Sims) C.B.Clarke
4.	Boraginaceae	17 Arnebia	19. A. euchroma (Royle) I.M.Johnst.
		18 Cynoglossum	20 C.wallichiivarglochidiatum
		19. Lindelofia	21. L. stylosa (Kar. &Kir.) Brand
5.	Brassicaceae	20 Draba	22. D. oreades Schrenk
			23. D. amoena O.E.Schulz
			24. D. gracillima Hook.f. & Thomson
6.	Campanulaceae	21.Codonopsis	25. C. clematidea (Schrenk) C.B.Clarke
7.	Capparaceae	22.Capparis	26. C. spinosa L
8.	Caprifoliaceae	23.Morina	27. M. longifolia Wall
9.	Caryophyllaceae	24.Silene	28. S. indi var. edgeworthii ca
		25.Arenaria	29. A. kashmirica Edgew
10	Colchicaceae	26.Colchicum	30. C. luteum Baker
11	Compositae	27.Anaphalis	<i>31. A. royleana</i> DC
12	Convolvulaceae	28. Convolvulus	32. C. arvensis L.
13	Crassulaceae	29. Rhodiola	33. R. fastigiata (Hook. f. & Thomson) S.H. Fu
14	Cupressaceae	30.Juniperus	34. J. macropoda Boiss
15	Elaeagnaceae	31.Hippophae	35. H. rhamnoides L.
16	Ephedraceae	32.Ephedra	36. E. gerardiana Wall. ex Stapf
17.	Fabaceae	33.Trigonella	37. T. emodi Benth
		34.Melilotus	38. M. officinalis (L.) Pall.

Environment Conservation Journal



Raina and Hamid

		35.Lathyrus	<i>39. L. sativus</i> L.	
		36.Trifolium	40. T. repens L.	
		27.4 / 1	41. Astragulussps	
		37.Astragalus	42. A.rhizanthus Benth.	
		38Chesneya	43. C.cuneata (Benth.) Ali	
		39.Oxytropis	44. O. lapponica(Wahlenb.) Gay	
18.	Fumariaceae	40.Corydalis	45.C. thyrsiflora Prain	
			46.C. crassisima	
19	Gentianaceae	41.Gentian	47.G. kuroo	
20	Geraniaceae	42.Geranium	48.G. wallichianum D.Don ex Sweet	
			49.G. pratense L.	
21	Grossulariaceae	43Ribes	50. R. orientale Desf.	
22	Iridaceae	44.Iris	51. I. lactea Pall	
23	Lamiaceae	45.Nepeta.	52. N. floccosa Benth	
		46.Marrubium	53. M. vulgare L.	
		47.Thymus	54. T. linearis Benth	
24	Leguminoseae	48.Ciccer	55. C. microphyllum Benth	
25	Onagraceae	49.Epilobium	56. E. latifolium L.	
26	Orchidaceae	50.Dactylorhiza	57. D. hatagirea (D.Don) Soó	
27	Orobanchaceae	51.Pedicularis	58. P. cheilanthifolia Schrenk	
			59. P. pyramidata	
			60. P. scullyana Prain ex Maxim.	
28	Plantaginaceae	52.Plantago	61. P. asiatica	
29	Plumbaginaceae	53.Acantholimon	62. Alycopodioides (Girard) Boiss.	
30	Polygonaceae	54.Polygonum	63. P. tortuosum D. Don	
		55.Oxyria	64. O. digyna (L.) Hill	
		56.Rheum	65. R. spiciforme Royle	
			66. R. australe D. Don	
31	Ranunculaceae	57.Ranunculus	67. <u>R. arvensis L.</u>	
		58. Clematis	68. C. tibetana Kuntze	
32	Rosaceae	59.Potentilla	69. P. bifurca L.	
			70. P. anserina L.	
			71. Potentilla	
			72. P. eriocarpa Wall. exLehm.	
		60.Rosa	73. R. webbiana Wall. ex Royle	
			74. R. foetida Herrm.	
		61.Cotoneaster	75. C. microphyllus Wall. Ex Lindl.	
33	Scrophulariaceae	62.Verbascum	76.V thapsus L	
34	Solanaceae	63.Physochealta	77. P. praealta (Decne.) Miers	
35	Tamaricaceae	64.Myricaria	78. <i>M. germanica</i> (L.) Desv.	
36	Urticaceae	65.Urtica	79.U. dioica L.	
Total	36	65	79	

Kumar (2009) has also recorded maximum number of species and genera for Asteraceae followed by Fabaceae in Suru Valley but for whole of Ladakh, Kachroo *et al.* (1977) although recorded maximum number of species and genera for Asteracea but it was followed by Brassicaceae rather than Fabaceae. There are 23 families which are monotypic i.e. these are represented by single genera and species in the area. Dominant genera of the study area have been found to be *Potentilla* with 4 species followed by *Draba* and *Pedicularis* (3 species each). Compilation of the herb and shrub species separately for the plain area adjoining the stream (Table 2 & 3) and mountainous slopes (Table 4 & 5) revealed the striking difference in the species distribution. The perusal of tables 2 and 3 revealed the presence of 40 herbs and 8 shrubs from the plain area adjoining the stream while from the mountainous slopes 18 herbs and 19 shrubs have been recorded (Table 4 & 5).Only six species viz *Ajania tibetica, Cirsium arvenses, Echinopsis cornigerus, Physochlaina praealta, Rheum spiciforme and Verbascum thapsus*



Floristic analysis of Chiktan Valley in Kargil district

S. No.	Species	Vernacular name	Family
1.	Allium przewalskianum Regel		Alliaceae
2.	Aster flaccidus Bunge		Asteraceae
3.	Aster molliusculus (Lindl. ex DC.) C.B.Clarke		Asteraceae
4.	Cicer microphyllum Benth		Leguminosae
5.	Cichorium intybus L.	Shantik	Asteraceae
6.	Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.	Zbantser	Asteraceae
7.	Clematis tibetana Kuntze	kota	Ranunculaceae
8.	Codonopsis clematidea (Schrenk) C.B.Clarke	Faqfaq	Campanulaceae
9.	Colchicum luteum Baker		Colchicaceae
10.	Convolvulus arvensis L.	Thithimo	Convolvulaceae
11.	Corydalis thyrsiflora Prain		Fumariaceae
12.	Dactylorhiza hatagirea (D.Don) Soó	Khirgma	Orchidaceae
13.	Draba amoena O.E.Schulz		Brassicaceae
14.	Draba gracillima Hook.f. & Thomson		Brassicaceae
15.	Draba oreades Schrenk		Brassicaceae
16.	Echinops cornigerus DC	Kaqtsaymaq	Asteraceae
17.	Gentian kurooL.	Huchung	Gentianaceae
18.	Geranium pratense L.		Geraniaceae
19.	Geranium wallichianum D.Don ex Sweet	Spoldo	Geraniaceae
20.	Iris lactea Pall	Tesma	Iridaceae
21.	Lathyrus_sativus L.	Garaz	Fabaceae
22.	Melilotus officinalis (L.) Pall.	Dannga	Fabaceae
23.	Oxyria digyna (L.) Hill	Shoma	Polygonaceae
24.	PedicularispyramidataRoyle ex Benth.	Logorgot	Orobanchaceae
25.	Pedicularisscullyana		Orobanchaceae
26.	Pedicularis cheilanthifolia Schrenk		Orobanchaceae
27.	Physochlaina praealta (Decne.) Miers	Lantang	Solanaceae
28.	Plantagoasiatica	Biazanma	Plantaginaceae
29.	Polygonum tortuosum D. Don	Tsanalo	Polygonaceae
30.	Potentilla anserina L.	Totoma	Rosaceae
31.	Potentilla bifurca L.	Spanktsemik	Rosaceae
32.	Ranunculus arvensis L.	Isman	Ranunculaceae
33.	Rheum spiciforme Royle	Latchu	Polygonaceae
34.	Scorzonera virgata DC	Tsarchan	Asteraceae
35.	Sileneindi var. edgeworthii ca		Caryophyllaceae
36.	Taraxacum officinale Webb	Khorkhorma	Asteraceae
37.	Tetrataenium pinnatum (C.B.Clarke) Manden	Spisho	Apiaceae
38.	Trifolium repens L.		Fabaceae
39.	Trigonella emodi Benth	Bugsug	Fabaceae
40.	Verbascum thapsus L.		Scrophulariaceae

Table 2: Herbs of plain region of Chiktan valley



Raina and Hamid

S. No.	Species	Vernacular name	Family
1.	Arenaria kashmirica Edgew.		Caryophyllaceae
2.	Arnebia euchroma (Royle) I.M.Johnst.	Demok	Boraginaceae
3.	Capparis spinosa L.	Kabra	Capparaceae
4.	Epilobium latifolium L.		Onagraceae
5.	Hippophae rhamnoides L.	Tsokskure	Elaeagnaceae
6.	Myricaria germanica (L.) Desv.	Umbu	Tamaricaceae
7.	Rosa foetida Herrm.	Siasirpo	Rosaceae
8.	Rosa webbiana Wall. ex Royle	Siamarpo	Rosaceae

Table 3: Shrubs of plain region of Chiktan Valley

Table 4: Herbs of mountain region of Chiktan valley

S. No.	Species	Vernacular name	Family
1.	Ajania tibetica (Hook.f. & Thomson) Tzvelev	Phasbursey	Asteraceae
2.	Artemisia gmelinii Weber		Asteraceae
3.	Astragulussps.	Dayrkal	Fabaceae
4.	Chesneya cuneata (Benth.) Ali	Bigangbo	Fabaceae
5.	Corydalis crassisimaL.	Shapur	Fumariaceae
6.	Cynoglossumwallichiiyarglochidiatum		Boraginaceae
7.	Inula racemosa Hook.f.	Shorokmorok	Asteraceae
8.	Leontopodiumjacotianum		Asteraceae
	Beauverd.		
9.	Lindelofia stylosa (Kar. &Kir.) Brand	Makpen	Boraginaceae
10.	Nepeta floccosa Benth.	Shamalolo	Lamiaceae
11.	Oxytropislapponica	Rkamindok	Fabaceae
	(Wahlenb.) Gay		
12.	Potentilla spp.	Tian	Rosaceae
13.	Potentilla eriocarpa Wall. exLehm.		Rosaceae
14.	Pyrethrum pyrethroides (Kar. &Kir.) B.Fedtsch. ex Krasch.	Serpen	Asteraceae
15.	Rheum australe D. Don	Khakhol	Polygonaceae
16.	Rheum spiciforme Royle	Latchu	Polygonaceae
17.	Saussurea heteromalla (D.Don) HandMazz.		Asteraceae
18.	Verbascum thapsus L.	Shondok	Scrophulariaceae

Table 5: Shrubs of mountain region of Chiktan valley

S. No.	Species	Vernacular name	Family
1.	Acantholimon lycopodioides (Girard) Boiss.	Longze	Plumbaginaceae
2.	Ajania tibetica (Hook.f. & Thomson) Tzvelev	Phasbursey	Asteraceae
3.	Anaphalistriplinervis (Sims) C.B.Clarke	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Asteraceae
4.	Anaphalis royleana DC.	kasboor	Compositae
5.	Artemisia stracheyi Hook.f. & Thomson ex C.B.Clarke	nagsbur	Asteraceae
6.	Astragalus rhizanthus Benth.	Zbitchu	Fabaceae
7.	<i>Cirsiumarvense</i> (<u>L.</u>) Scop.	Zbantzer	Asteraceae
8.	Cotoneaster microphyllus Wall. exL indl.	Tsato	Rosaceae
9.	Cousinia thomsonii C.B.Clarke	pizum	Asteraceae
10.	Echinops cornigerus DC.	kaqtsaymaq	Asteraceae
11.	Ephedra gerardiana Wall. ex Stapf	Chappat	Ephedraceae
12.	Juniperus macropoda Boiss	Shukpa	Cupressaceae
13.	Marrubium vulgare L.	yakzas	Lamiaceae
14.	Morina longifolia Wall.		Caprifoliaceae
15.	Physochlaina praealta (Decne.) Miers	Lantang	Solanaceae
16.	Rhodiola fastigiata (Hook. f. & Thomson)	rtatrolo	Crassulaceae
	S.H. Fu		
17.	Ribes orientale Desf.	Askuta	Grossulariaceae
18.	Thymus linearis Benth		Lamiaceae
19.	Urtica dioica L.	Rdoastat	Urticaceae



have registered their appearance both in the plain areas as well as in the mountainous region leading to high species dissimilarity index. Analysis of floristic composition further revealed that the ratio of family to genera is 0.55, family to species is 0.45 and genera to species is 0.82. Kumar (2009) recorded ratio of family to genera, family to species and genera to species to be 0.35, 0.19 and 0.53 respectively whereas the ratio of family to genera, family to species and genera to species recorded by Kachroo et al. (1977) for whole of Ladakh was 0.26, 0.08 and 0.31, respectively. The overall finding shows that the number of species with respect to genera and family and the number of genera with respect to family increases with the increase in the area resulting in larger ratios in smaller areas and vice versa (Table 6). Besides primary analysis of vegetation using IVI, species can also be analyzed at secondary level

which involves the use of, total species number and the total number of individuals. Shannon index tells about the species diversity which involves species richness and species evenness in a delineated community, Simpson index is used to find the dominance (increases with decrease in evenness) of species whereas Margalef's Index and Menhinick's Index is used to find out simply the richness of the species in the community which generally increases with increase in number of individuals. Secondary analysis of the plants using these four indices have been carried out for herbs, shrubs and the total (herbs+shrubs) plant species both in the plain as well as mountainous regions and are depicted in tables 7 and 8. Diversity indices of the plants (herbs+shrubs) of plain and mountainous regions have also been compared to find out the difference in species richness, evenness and dominance between the two communities (Table 9, Fig. 2).

Table 6: Comparison of Floristic Diversity of Chiktan valley with other areas

Work done	F	G	S	Ratio (F:G)	Ratio (F:S)	Ratio (G:S)
Kachroo et al. (1977) (Ladak	h) 51	190	611	0.26	0.08	0.31
Kumar (2009) (Kargil)	55	153	285	0.35	0.19	0.53
Author (2013) (Chiktan)	36	65	79	0.55	0.45	0.82
F = Family: G = Genera: S = Species						

Parameters	Total species	Herbs	Shrubs
Total number of Species	48	40	8
Total number of individuals (N)	5194	4766	428
Margalef's Index (Da)	5.49	4.64	1.15
Menhinick's Index (Db)	0.71	0.57	0.38
Simpson's Index (Ds)	0.97	0.96	0.85
Shannon-Weiner's Index (H')	3.67	3 52	1 98

Table 7: Diversity indices of plain areas of Chiktan valley.

Table 8: Diversity indices of mountainous area of Chiktan valley.

Parameters	Total Species	herbs	Shrubs
Total number of Species	37	18	19
Total number of individuals (N)	2652	1182	1470
Margalef's Index (Da)	4.56	2.40	2.46
Menhinick's Index (Db)	0.67	0.52	0.49
Simpson's Index (Ds)	0.96	0.93	0.92
Shannon-Weiner's Index (H')	6.93	2.81	2.74



Raina and Hamid

Parameter	Species of plain region	Species of mountainous region
Margalef's Index (Da)	5.49	4.56
Menhinick's Index (Db)	0.71	0.67
Simpson's Index (Ds)	0.97	0.96
Shannon-Weiner's Index (H')	3.67	6.93

Table 9: Comparison of diversity indices of plants of plain areas with that of mountainous areas.



Fig. 2.Bar digram showing comparison of diversity indices of Species (herbs and shrubs) of plain region with species (herbs and shrubs) of Mountainous region.

Comparison of the values of diversity indices of the plain and mountain areas revealed that the overall species richness (Margalef's Index, Menhinick's Index) as well as dominance (Simpsons Index) is more in plain region than in mountainous region. Plain area is characterized by the presence of a large number of species (richness) in which some species have been found to be having a large number of individuals as compared to the rest of the species (dominance). In mountainous areas, the number of species is although small but each species have been found to be having more or less the same number of individuals (high evenness) as shown by the high value of Shannon index. Although when individual values for the Simpsons Index are observed, the species tend to show more dominance then evenness (values for Simpson index lies between zero and one and approaches one in the limit of a monoculture). The decrease in number of species with increasing altitude for various Himalayan ranges is a recognized pattern with certain variations in pattern (Korner, 2003). Severity of climatic conditions, trampling by

humans and livestock grazing can be the factors behind the declined species richness on the mountains (Buntaine et al., 2007). However high evenness in the mountains can be attributed to the well demarcated and non-overlapping home range formed by the livestock and other grazing population over the years. Further on the slopes presence of a number of shrub species indicate their better tolerance to dry conditions. Since the study has been carried out in two adjoining but different communities, Jaccard's index and Sorenson's index have been used to find out the similarity index between the two regions. These two indices helps us to examine species variation with respect to distance or environmental differences between the sites. The value of these two indices varies from 0-1, complete community overlap is equal to 1 and complete community dissimilarity is equal to 0. The small values for these two indices (Table 10) show the high dissimilarity (low similarity) index between the two regions which may be due to the wide variation in climatic conditions between the two regions.



Table 10: Index of similarity (Sorenson's index and Jaccard's index) between the plain and the mountainous region

No. of species in Plain region	No. of species in mountainous region	Species common to both regions	Sorenson's index	Jaccard's index
48	37	6	0.14	0.06

References

- Bowman, W. D. and Damm, M. 2002. Causes and consequences of vascular plant diversity in the Rocky Mountains. In: Korner Ch, Spehn EM (eds). Mountain Biodiversity: A Global Assessment. New York: The Parthenon Publishing Group, pp.35- 48.
- Buntaine, M. T., Mullen, R. B. and Lassoie, J. P. 2007.Human use and conservation planning in alpine areas of northwestern Yunnan, China. *Environment, Development and Sustainability.* 9: 305-324.
- Jaccard, P. 1901. Distribution de la flore alpine dans le Bassin des Dransesetdans quelques regions voisines. *Bull. Soc. vaud.Sci. nat.* 37, 241-72.
- Kachroo P, Sapru, B. L and Dhar, U. 1977. Flora of Ladakh: an ecological and taxonomic appraisal.Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, India.
- Khan, S. M., Page, S., Ahmad, H., Shaheen, H.and Harper, D. 2012. Vegetation dynamics in the Western Himalayas, diversity indices and climate change. *Science, Technology and Development*. 31(3):232-243, 2012.
- Korner. C. 2003. Alpine *Plant Life In: Functional Plant Ecology of High Mountain Ecosystems*, 2nd edn. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Kumar, R. 2009. Environmental Impact Assessment of Chutuk Hydroelectric Project on phytodiversity. Ph.D. thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu. (J&K) India.
- La Sorte, F. A. and Jetz, W. 2010. Projected range contractions of montane biodiversity under global warming. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: *Biological Sciences*. 277: 3401–3410.
- Margalef, R. 1968. *Perspectives in Ecological Theory*. University of Chicago Press.Chicago, pp. 111.
- Menhinick, E. F. 1964. A Comparison of Some Species Diversity Indices applied to Samples of Field Insects. *Ecology*. 45: 858-862.

- Bowman, W. D. and Damm, M. 2002. *Causes and* MoEF, 2010.India & the convention on biological diversity *consequences of vascular plant diversity in the* (cbd) Cop-10. Nagoya, Japan.
 - Sorenson, T. 1948. A method of establishing groups of equal amplitudes in plant society based on similarity of species. *Content. K. DanskeVidensk, Selask.* 5:1-34.
 - Rhoades, R. E. and Thompson, S. I. 1975. Adaptive Strategies in Alpine Environments: Beyound Ecological Particularism. *American Ethnologist.* 2(3): 535-551.
 - Rodgers, W. A. and Panwar, H. S. 1988. *Planing a Protected Area Network in India*. The Report. Wildlife Institute of India. Dehra Dun.
 - Shannon, C. E. and Weiner, W. 1949. *The Mathematical Theory* of Communication. University of Illinois press, Urbana, USA.pp. 117.
 - Simpson, E. H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. *Nature*.163: 688.
 - Shaheen, H., Khan, S. M., Harper, D. M., Ullah, Z. and Qureshi, R. A. 2011. Species Diversity, Community Structure, and Distribution Patterns in Western Himalayan Alpine Pastures of Kashmir, Pakistan. *Mountain Research* and Developmen. 31(2):153-159. 2011.
 - Sharma, E., Chettri, N. and Oli, K. P. 2010. Mountain biodiversity conservation and management: a paradigm shift in policies and practices in the Hindu Kush-Himalayas. *Ecological Research*. 25: 909–923.
 - Srivastava, S. K. 2010. Floristic diversity and conservation strategies in cold desert of western Himalaya, India. *Journal of Plant Science*. 7: 18–25
 - Walker, M. D., Webber, P. J., Arnold, E. H., and Ebert-May, D. 1994. Effects of Interannual Climate Variation on Aboveground Phytomass in Alpine Vegetation. *Ecology*. 75(2): 393-408.

