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#### Abstract

Mountains of Himalaya, with unique topology and geographical regions, are hotspots of biodiversity. Their flora and fauna have been investigated for abundance in species composition and interactions. One of the most important driving forces of ecosystem differentiation is altitudinal gradients that results in changes in species composition. Sometimes, an introduced species can also have a major impact on endemic species if the introduced species can survive in entire altitudinal gradient zone. Our study focuses on this aspect and defines the pattern of altitudinal variation and distribution of Salmo trutta (Brown trout) and native Schizothorax sp. (Snow trout) in river Asiganga (a tributary of Ganges) that originates from Dodital ( 4400 m ). We analyzed population dynamics of both species along altitudinal gradients (2200m to 1100 m approximately). The physicochemical parameters of water showed significant variation along the altitudinal gradient. Our study suggests that the population groups of Brown trout are establishing in the lower altitudinal regions of the river Asiganga, and even into the river Ganges, due to their ability to survive in wider range of temperature and availability of food. Usually it is believed that species inhabiting higher elevations are superior competitors at lower temperature while species inhabiting lower altitude are better competitors at warmer temperature. Our study suggests that although altitudinal variations are powerful for species distribution but prey-predator effect and availability of preferred food is also pro-lasting and can have a wider role in distribution of predator fish species.
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## Introduction

Garhwal Himalaya in India has a vast network of fresh water rivers and streams that harbor rich diversity of fish species. Two major rivers, The Ganges and The Yamuna, of India originate from Garhwal Himalaya. Most of these fresh water systems have their water heads at higher elevations and these rivers/streams cross diverse altitude zones before merging into either of the two major rivers, The Ganges or The Yamuna. Altitudinal zonation has been well studied and it has been reported that altitudinal zonation of fish species occur in response to various factors, especially temperature, operating differentially across altitudes. Every fish species has a specific thermal physiology and therefore its distribution is reflected by the spatial arrangement as per required temperature range(s)
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within a river network. This varied pattern of temperature regimes changes the distribution pattern of fish species. One species replacing other, along the altitudinal gradient, is observed commonly in Mountain River and streams throughout the world (Bozek and Hubert, 1992; Magoulick and Wilzbach, 1998; Taniguci and Nakano, 2000; McHugh and Budy, 2005). This phenomenon, termed as altitudinal species zonation, can also occur locally due to biotic and abiotic factor interaction. In almost all the studies done so far, it is hypothesized that species inhabiting higher elevations are superior competitors at lower temperature while species inhabiting lower altitude are better competitors at warmer temperature. Each species might be capable of surviving at all temperatures in the absence of competition (Taniguchi and Nakano, 2000). One of the tributaries of Ganges, river Asiganga is an interesting river with respect to the different ecological niches and habits available in this river within a span of 30 km . River Asiganga originates from Dodital $(4400 \mathrm{~m}-$ altitude,
$30^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $78^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and merges into Ganges near Gangori (Altitude 1100 m ). This provides a steep gradient of altitude and temperature variation within a short span. Indiscriminate introductions of exotic trout fishes have been carried out by different agencies in different fresh water bodies of Garhwal Himalaya. One such introduction of brown trout (Salmo trutta) was also carried out in Dodital and river Asiganga in district Uttarkashi of Uttarakhand India.
In Uttarkashi district, since the introduction of brown trout, it is disturbing to identify brown trout in catches almost entire length of the river (starting from the point of introduction) and even beyond the confluence region where river Asiganga merges into the Ganges. This widespread distribution of brown trout along the altitude gradient is varying from approximately 1100 m to above 4400 m altitude. This spread is not very evident because of the fact that, to date, there has been no long-term study in entire Garhwal Himalaya on the population abundance and dynamics of introduced exotic trout species. Our study is an attempt to document the extent of spread of exotic brown trout in river Asiganga. The study also focuses on determining and documenting the possibility that either this exotic predator is establishing its population at various temperature zones or it is just an occasional fish that wonders away from the habitat they usually occupy. We are also attempting to understand if, besides survival in various temperature zones, other factor like easy available food is also helping brown trout in establishing their population

## Material and Methods

## Sampling Sites:

River Asiganga originates at Dodital (4400 meters) and merges into Ganges near Village Gangori ( 1100 m ). Fish were sampled from River Asiganga, a tributary of Ganges, from July, 2009 to June, 2012. Permanent sampling segments were established in the study rivers such as Site 1 (Sangamchatti; height: 1345m), Site 2 (Rawara; height: 1505m) and Site 3 (Gangori; height: 1160 m ) and a control site for brown trout (Control Brown Trout site - CBT). Brown trout were caught by hired fisherman at each sites of river Asiganga. The fisherman used cast net for capturing the fishes
which differ in the sinkers and thus in the weights. All the fishes sampled were released without harm.
Physicochemical parameters
Physicochemical parameters were measured following methods of APHA (1995) and Trividi and Goel (1986). Some of the physico-chemical parameters were determined on the site and the rest were determined in the laboratory depending upon the requirement. The selected parameters were described as below:
Water temperature: Water temperature was recorded with the help of mercury thermometer by dipping it into water.
$\mathbf{p H}: \mathrm{pH}$ was measured on the sampling sites by the portable pH meter (Hanna pocket pH meter-H196107 Systronic- 361 pH meter).
Free Carbon Dioxide: Method of Trividi and Goyal - 1986 was used for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ estimation. Free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was determined by titrating the sample using a strong alkali ( pH 8.3). The analysis was done on the sampling site. Drops of neutralized phenolphthalein indicator were added to 100 ml of water sample taken in an Erlenmeyer's flask and titrated with 0.05 N sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH})$ until a pink colour appears. The volume of titrant was noted down and free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was calculated using following equation:

> Free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(m g / L)=$ $(m l \times N)$ of $\mathrm{NaOH} \times 1000 \times 44 / \mathrm{ml}$ sample

Total Alkalinity: Alkalinity is the expression of the total quantity of base (usually in equilibrium with carbonate or bicarbonate) and was determined by titration with a strong acid (Hutchinson, 1957).
Dissolved Oxygen (DO): DO was estimated at the study site by the Winkler's Iodometric method. The estimation of oxygen in water depends on the fact that sodium hydroxide together with manganese sulphate gives a white precipitate of manganese hydroxide.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{MnSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{NaOH}------\rightarrow \\
& \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Water sample was taken in a 300 ml BOD bottle. 1.0 ml of both manganese sulphate ( $\mathrm{MnSo4}$ ) and alkaline iodide $(\mathrm{KOH}+\mathrm{KI})$ were added to the sample and mixed gently. Addition of 2.0 ml of
conc. Sulphuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ liberates iodine The volume of titrant used was recorded and the equivalent to DO. 200 ml of the aliquot was titrated with the standardized sodium thiosulphate $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $(0.025 \mathrm{~N})$, aqueous starch was used as indicator.

The volume of titrant used was recorded and the result was expressed as milligram per litre ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ). The formula used to calculate DO was as follows:

$$
D O(m g / L)=(m l \times N) \text { of titrant } \times 8 \times 1000 / V_{2}\left(V_{1}-V\right) / V_{1}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{V}_{1}=$ volume of sample bottle after placing the stopper, $\mathrm{V}_{2}=$ Volume of the part of the contents titrated and $\mathrm{V}=$ Volume of $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ and KI added.
Turbidity - Nephelometeic method using systronics - digital Nephlo-turbidity meter 132 was used to determine turbidity.

## Fish population estimates:

Data on fish populations was obtained from sampling sites established during the original survey. These sites was chosen to capture the existing range of fish communities (e.g., dominated by native fishes vs. exotic fishes) as well as the range of habitat types and quality. Fish were sampled using a combination of hired fisherman and other efforts (e.g., three pass depletion technique), and population estimates were completed based on modified depletion technique (Zippin, 1958, Thapliyal 2012). For fish population estimates, a specifically marked area of 800 meters to 1 km was quardened off with nets and then the sampling was conducted. All the sample collections and measurements were done from these specifically marked sites. Identification of fish species was completed by Day Fauna (Book) and Badola and Singh (1975), and Badola (2012). Fishes were released without harming.

## Benthos

Benthos was collected by placing a quadrate of 1 m X 1m at three different locations in same site and samples were collected. The collected sample was preserved in 5\% formalin solution. Quantitative estimation was based on numerical counting i.e. units per meter square ( Ind. $^{-2}$ ). Qualitative analysis was made with the help of Ward and Whipple (1959) and Needham and Needham (1962).

## Data analysis

For an in depth analysis of data recorded on various quantitative characters and estimation of certain genetic parameters the following statistical procedure were followed. Origin 8.1 and SPSS software were used for statistical analysis.

## Species richness

Shannon-Wiener species diversity index (Shannon and Wiener, 1963): The monthly counts obtained through regular sampling were used to compute the Shannon-wiener species diversity index $(\bar{H})$. Seasonal values of $\bar{H}$ were computed for each genera to obtain total diversity.

$$
\bar{H}=\sum p_{i} \log _{e} \sum p_{i}
$$

Where,

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} / \mathrm{N} ;
$$

$n_{i}=$ number of individuals of one species,
$\mathrm{N}=$ total number of organisms.
Richness index: Monthly variation in species richness was computed on the basis of data available on the number of species (genera) and individuals both (Margalef, 1957; Odum, 1971).

$$
d^{\prime}=\frac{S-1}{\log _{e} N}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}=$ Margalef's index, $\mathrm{S}=$ number of species, $\mathrm{N}=$ total number of individuals in community.
Evenness index: The Monthly value of evenness index was calculated in the following manner.

$$
e=\frac{\bar{H}}{\log _{e} S}
$$

Where, $\bar{H}$ is the Shannon diversity index and S is the number of species. In all the indices natural log ( $\log _{e}$ ) was used.
$\mathbf{t}$-distribution analysis: If $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \ldots \ldots . \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the random sample drawn from a normal population with mean $(\mu)$ and variance $\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$ then the $\frac{(\bar{x}-v)}{\sqrt{s^{2} / n}}$ distribution of the variable $\sqrt{s^{2} / n}$ where n is small which is sample size is called t -distribution with ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) df (Hoshmand, 1988). The t -
distribution, like the normal distribution, is symmetrical in shape, and has a mean equal to zero. Where $\bar{x}=$ sample mean

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{2}=\frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum(x-\bar{x})^{2} \\
& \frac{(\bar{x}-v)}{\sqrt{s^{2} / n}} \Longrightarrow t_{(n-1))} \longleftarrow d f
\end{aligned}
$$

## Results and Discussion

Major fish species found in various altitudinal zones of river Asiganga were Salmo trutta (brown trout), Schizothorax (snow trout), Pseudecheneis, Nemacheilus and Tor chilinoides (Fig. 1).

b. Schizhothorax sp.

c. Pseudecheneis sulcatus


## d. Nemacheilus sp.



## e. Tor chilinoides

The number of fish on monthly basis representing the population of Brown trout and other fish species in river Asiganga at site CBT 1 (Control Brown Trout 1), site 1 (Sangamchatti), site 2 (Rawara) and site 3 (Gangori) are presented in Fig. 2. Site CBT 1 was chosen for representing control site for brown trout as only Salmo trutta were present while sampling at this site ( $4 \pm 0.13$ ). No Schizothorax sp., Noemacheilus sp. and Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. (McClelland) were recorded during all the three year (2009-2012) at this CBT 1 site.At site 1 the maximum number of fish recorded were Salmo trutta (12 $\pm 0$ ) followed by Schizothorax sp. (4 $\pm 0$ ), Nemacheilus sp. ( $1 \pm 0$ ) and Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. (1 $\pm 0)$ during all three year (2009-2012). Also, in site 2 maximum number of fish recorded for Schizothorax sp. (12 $\pm 1$ ) followed by Salmo trutta (8.64 $\pm 0)$, Nemacheilus sp. $(2 \pm 0)$ and Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. ( $1 \pm 0$ ) during three years of study (2009-2012). But in site 3 the trend was drastically changed. Maximum number of fish was recorded for Schizothorax sp. (15 $\pm 1)$ followed by Salmo trutta (5 $\pm 0$ ), Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. (1 $\pm 0$ ), Nemacheilus sp. ( $1 \pm 0$ ) and Tor Chilinoides ( $1 \pm 0$ ) during all the three years of study. The number of Salmo trutta and Schizothorax sp. may vary but other fish species were also present in lower number. Tor Chilinoides was present only at site 3 i.e., Gangori (Altitude: 1160 m ). One of important pointers from our sampling data was that Salmo trutta was sampled from all the four sites and all sizes were (weighing from $<150 \mathrm{~g}$ to $>550 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were found in each location (Fig. 3). Percentage of different fish species at four sites of river Asiganga on pooled basis (over the years 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12) are represented in Table 1. The CBT 1 site had highest percentage for Salmo trutta (100\%). In site 1, Salmo trutta (69.97\%) was a major group followed by Schizothorax sp. (25.40\%), Noemacheilus sp. (2.56\%), Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. (2.07\%). At site 2 Schizothorax sp. (50.11\%) was most abundant followed by Salmo trutta (36.25\%), Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. (7.93\%) and Noemacheilus sp. ( $5.71 \%$ ). At site 3, highest percentage was that of Schizothorax sp. (67.48\%) followed by Brown trout (20.29\%), Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc. (5.87\%), Noemacheilus sp. (3.79\%) and Tor Chilinoides (3.86\%).

Figure 1. Fish species recorded from river Asiganga in the year (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12)

Site: CBT 1 (Altitude: 2200m amsl)
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## Site 1: Sangamchatti (Altitude: 1505m amsl)



Fish species
Site 2: Rawara (Altitude: 1345m amsl)


Site 3: Gangori (Altitude: 1160m amsl)


Fish species
Figure 2. Altitudinal variation of fish species in river Asiganga (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12). Salmo trutta was presnet in all four sites. CBT 1 site was dominated by Salmo trutta. At Site 1, Salmo trutta was the dominant fish species. Schizhothorax sp. was found to be dominated in site 2 and site 3 , respectively at lower altitudes. Tor Chilinoides was rarely found only at site 3 and absent in the other two sites.
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Figure 3. Graph representing the number of fish on class interval pattern as per weight of fishes in gram. Brown trout and Schizothorax sp. Brown trout represented by different sizes and weight categories are found at all the sites. This suggests that finding brown trout at lower altitudes is not a random occurrence but these are distinct groups will all sizes and age groups


Figure 4. Macrobenthos recorded from river Asiganga in the year (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12)

Table 1. Percentage of different fish species at four sites in river Asiganga from year 2009-10 to 2011-12.

| Fish species | Site CBT 1 (\%) | Site 1 (\%) | Site 2 (\%) | Site 3 (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Salmo trutta | 100 | 69.97 | 36.25 | 20.29 |
| Schizhothorax sp. | -- | 25.40 | 50.11 | 67.48 |
| Pseudecheneis sulcatus | -- | 2.07 | 7.93 | 5.87 |
| Noemacheilus sp. | -- | 2.56 | 5.71 | 3.79 |
| Tor chilinoides | -- | -- | 2.57 |  |

Table 2. Average number of fish (Salmo trutta and Schizothorax sp.) on yearly basis representing the class interval pattern (on weight basis).

| Class <br> Interval <br> (weight in <br> gram) | Site CBT 1 | Site 1 <br> Salmo <br> trutta | Schizothorax <br> sp. | Salmo <br> trutta | Schizothorax <br> sp. | Salmo <br> trutta | Schizothorax <br> sp. | Salte 3 <br> trutta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{< 1 5 0}$ | 18 | 0 | 32 | 12 | 20 | 49 | 10 | Schizothorax <br> sp. |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 - 3 5 0}$ | 13 | 0 | 53 | 24 | 40 | 50 | 15 | 71 |
| $\mathbf{3 5 0 - 5 5 0}$ | 14 | 0 | 39 | 14 | 31 | 37 | 20 | 36 |
| $>\mathbf{5 5 0}$ | 5 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ |

Table 3. Macrobenthos recorded from river Asiganga in the year 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2012-13.

| Phylum | Class | Order | Family | Genus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arthropoda | Insecta | Ephemeroptera | Ephemerellidae <br> Heptageniidae <br> Baetiade | Ephemerella <br> Epeorus <br> Baetis |
|  |  | Trichoptera | Leptoceridae <br> Limnephilldae <br> Hydropsychidae <br> Philopotamidae <br> Glossomatidae | Triaenodes <br> Leptocella <br> Limnephilus <br> Hydropsyche <br> Philopotamus <br> Glossosoma <br> Agapetus |
|  |  | Diptera | Simuliidae <br> Chironomidae | Simulium <br> Chironomus |

The average number of Salmo trutta (Brown trout, BT) and Schizothorax sp. (Snow Trout, ST) were represented as a class interval pattern as per weight (in gram) category in Table 2. Highest average number of BT were found at site 1 (146) followed by site 2 (103), site 3 (55) and site CBT 1 (50). Similarly, the ST was represented in the anti Brown trout for $>550 \mathrm{~g}$ at site 1 . Also, for ST
parallel manner. Highest average number were found in site 3 (184) followed by site 2 (143) and site 1 (53). Moreover, in class interval (CI) weight distribution pattern, 53 number of BT were recorded weight between (150-350)g followed by 39 BT for $350-550 \mathrm{~g}, 32$ BT for $<150 \mathrm{~g}$ and 22 Brown trout for $>550 \mathrm{~g}$ at site 1 . Also, for ST
highest number (76) of fish found in $<150 \mathrm{~g}$ weight category along with 71 number of fish in (150-350) g at site 3 followed by 37 fishes under $350-550 \mathrm{~g}$ and 7 fishes under $>550 \mathrm{~g}$ weight category at site 2 . The benthic macro-invertebrates appear to be intimately related with the changing environment by causing and effective pathways. The alterations produced in the physical and chemical status of the riverine ecosystem become recognizable through elasticity of the community structure of the organisms expressible numerically as an index (Wilhm and Dorris, 1966). Thus, benthic macroinvertebrates make ideal subject for such studies and hence have often been used for biological assessment of water quality. The major objectives of the present investigation were fixed to measure the abundance and distribution of different macro benthos in the river and establish Shannon-Wiener Index for the benthic organisms to determine the species diversity of the river Asiganga. A total of twelve (12) genera of benthos viz. Ephemerella, Epeorus, Baetis, Triaenode, Leptocella, Limnephilus, Hydropsyche, Philopotamus, Glossosoma, Agapetus, Simulium and Chironomus were collected and identified from the river Asiganga (Table 3 and Fig. 4) from October to June of all the three years from 2009-10 to 2011-12. It included ten families, 3 orders and 1 class. The highest number of benthos genera belonged to order Trichoptera followed by Ephemeroptera and Diptera. Therefore, Shannon-Weaver (S-W) Diversity Index ( $H$ ), Richness Index (d') and Evenness Index (e) of benthos of river Asiganga during 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12 were presented in Table 4. Also, the S-W Diversity Index ( $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ ), Richness Index (d') and Evenness Index (e) of benthos of river Asiganga for three years (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12) were represented in Table 5.
Shannon-wiener diversity index ( $\bar{H}$ )
The Shannon-wiener diversity index showed a range of diversity varied from 1.309 to 2.811 for site $1 ; 0.811$ to 2.519 for site 2 and 0.577 to 2.549 for site 3 , respectively. In pooled analysis over the years diversity index ranged from 1.345 to 2.433 for site $1 ; 0.878$ to 2.146 for site 2 and 1.007 to 2.304 for site 3 , respectively (Table 4 and 5).

## Richness Index (d')

The benthos species richness was ranged from 0.758 to 2.265 for site $1 ; 0.434$ to 2.175 for site 2 and 0.254 to 2.308 for site 3 , respectively. Richness Index for pooled analysis over the years varied from 0.966 to 1.607 for site $1 ; 0.565$ to 1.722 for site 2 and 0.541 to 1.959 for site 3 , respectively (Table 4 and 5).

## Evenness Index (e)

The evenness index of benthos varied from 0.812 to 0.981 at site 1 , while it ranged from 0.469 to 0.970 for site 2 and ranged from 0.478 to 0.971 for site 3 , respectively. In pooled analysis over the years Evenness Index ranged from 0.875 to 0.959 for site $1 ; 0.584$ to 0.960 for site 2 and 0.591 to 0.869 for site 3 , respectively (Table 4 and 5). The physicochemical characters are the important parameters in determining the health and ecology of freshwater river and streams. The aquatic biodiversity depends upon the abiotic factors such as temperature, pH etc. Therefore, assessments of these parameters help in knowing the habitat and food habit of aquatic species dominating in the river/stream fauna i.e. fish species.The results regarding mean performances of different physico-chemical parameters viz. water temperature [surface temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and depth temperature $\left.\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]$, DO $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$, free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$, alkalinity $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}), \mathrm{pH}$, turbidity NTU ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ) at each site and for each month along with general mean, standard error of mean and range are presented in Table 6 for all three years (2009-2010, 2010-2011 and 20112012), respectively. Moreover, the t-test was conducted to understand the variation among physico-chemical parameters taken at three sites i.e., site 1 , site 2 and site 3 , respectively (Table 7 ). t -test showed significant variation between site 1 and site 3 for all the characters studied except for pH .Altitudinal variation of fish species in rivers and streams is well known. Altitudinal zonation of fish species occur in response to factors operating differentially across altitudes. Over all the variation in seasonal distribution and relative abundance of fish fauna is directly related to change in physicochemical nature of stream, variation in altitude and longitude (Bisht, 2009). In the present study period (2009-2012) four major fish species were found at all the three sites but one fish species Tor chilidnoides were present only at site 3 i.e., Gangori. Three species (Shizhothorax sp.,

Table 4. Diversity Index ( $\bar{H}$ ), Richness Index (d') and Evenness Index (e) of benthos in river Asiganga during the year 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12
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Table 5. Average Diversity Index ( $\bar{H}$ ), Richness Index (d') and Evenness Index (e) of benthos in river Asiganga on pooled basis (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12).

2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12

|  | Site 1 | Site 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\bar{H}$ | $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\bar{H}$ | $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\bar{H}$ | $\mathbf{d}^{\prime}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ |
| JUL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AUG | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SEP | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OCT | 1.497 | 1.078 | 0.929 | 0.878 | 0.565 | 0.584 | 1.741 | 1.325 | 0.591 |
| NOV | 1.345 | 1.038 | 0.875 | 1.765 | 0.896 | 0.924 | 1.757 | 1.371 | 0.685 |
| DEC | 1.798 | 1.168 | 0.939 | 1.684 | 1.088 | 0.908 | 1.788 | 1.336 | 0.745 |
| JAN | 2.171 | 1.515 | 0.906 | 2.027 | 1.722 | 0.815 | 2.304 | 1.959 | 0.759 |
| FEB | 2.102 | 1.133 | 0.957 | 1.386 | 1.634 | 0.960 | 2.243 | 1.331 | 0.868 |
| MAR | 2.433 | 1.607 | 0.881 | 2.146 | 1.494 | 0.732 | 1.524 | 1.230 | 0.869 |
| APR | 1.784 | 0.966 | 0.959 | 1.536 | 1.070 | 0.768 | 1.007 | 0.541 | 0.827 |
| MAY | 1.957 | 1.114 | 0.880 | 1.590 | 0.916 | 0.920 | 1.733 | 1.361 | 0.800 |
| JUN | 1.679 | 1.112 | 0.896 | 1.532 | 0.831 | 0.881 | 1.495 | 1.392 | 0.868 |

Table 6. Monthly recorded physico-chemical parameters (Mean $\pm$ SEM) of river Asiganga at sites (Site 1, Site 2 and Site 3) in the year (2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12)

|  | Air Temperature ( ${ }^{0} \mathbf{C}$ ) |  |  | Water Temperature ( ${ }^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{C}$ ) |  |  | Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) |  |  | Free $\mathbf{C O}_{2}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 |
| Jul | $17.6 \pm 0.33$ | $19 \pm 0.58$ | $23.0 \pm 0.33$ | $16.6 \pm 0.33$ | $18.0 \pm 0.58$ | $20.0 \pm 0.58$ | $7.0 \pm 0.88$ | $6.6 \pm 0.88$ | $5.7 \pm 0.33$ | $3.2 \pm 0.09$ | $3.6 \pm 0.08$ | $4.3 \pm 0.08$ |
| Aug | $17.0 \pm 0.33$ | $18.3 \pm 0.33$ | $22.6 \pm 0.88$ | $15.6 \pm 0.33$ | $17.0 \pm 0.58$ | $19.0 \pm 0.58$ | $7.2 \pm 0.99$ | $6.6 \pm 0.21$ | $5.3 \pm 0.23$ | $2.3 \pm 0.12$ | $3.4 \pm 0.12$ | $3.5 \pm 0.12$ |
| Sep | $15.0 \pm 0.67$ | $17.6 \pm 0.88$ | $19.0 \pm 0.33$ | $14.3 \pm 0.33$ | $15.6 \pm 0.67$ | $17.3 \pm 0.33$ | $9.0 \pm 0.58$ | $8.9 \pm 0.17$ | $8 \pm 0.99$ | $2.1 \pm 0.06$ | $2.5 \pm 0.09$ | $2.8 \pm 0.09$ |
| Oct | $13.0 \pm 0.58$ | $18.6 \pm 0.88$ | $21.0 \pm 0.58$ | $10 \pm 1.16$ | $12.3 \pm 1.21$ | $15.0 \pm 1.16$ | $9.4 \pm 0.31$ | $9.0 \pm 0.38$ | $7.7 \pm 0.54$ | $2.3 \pm 0.07$ | $2.5 \pm 0.03$ | $3.1 \pm 0.28$ |
| Nov | 9.3.0 $\pm 0.88$ | $13.0 \pm 0.58$ | $17.6 \pm 0.88$ | $8 \pm 0.58$ | $11.3 \pm 0.33$ | $13.6 \pm 0.88$ | $9.4 \pm 0.11$ | $8.3 \pm 0.27$ | $7.5 \pm 0.18$ | $2.5 \pm 0.18$ | $2.9 \pm 0.09$ | $3.5 \pm 0.07$ |
| Dec | $6.6 \pm 1.21$ | $9.6 \pm 1.21$ | $12.0 \pm 0.57$ | $5.3 \pm 0.88$ | $8.3 \pm 0.88$ | $10.6 \pm 0.33$ | $10.8 \pm 0.07$ | $9.7 \pm 0.07$ | $9.5 \pm 0.19$ | $1.2 \pm 0.12$ | $1.8 \pm 0.09$ | $2.3 \pm 0.12$ |
| Jan | $5.7 \pm 0.37$ | $7.8 \pm 0.73$ | $10.8 \pm 0.73$ | $4.3 \pm 0.35$ | $7.0 \pm 0.58$ | $9.2 \pm 1.27$ | $9.7 \pm 0.57$ | $9.3 \pm 0.34$ | $8.2 \pm 0.41$ | $1.2 \pm 0.15$ | $2.4 \pm 0.12$ | $3.4 \pm 0.06$ |
| Feb | $10.6 \pm 0.75$ | $11 \pm 0.64$ | $12.8 \pm 0.69$ | $8.6 \pm 0.63$ | $9.4 \pm 0.69$ | $10.4 \pm 0.32$ | $10.4 \pm 0.36$ | $8.8 \pm 0.48$ | $8.1 \pm 0.29$ | $1.2 \pm 0.12$ | $1.7 \pm 0.06$ | $2.6 \pm 0.15$ |
| Mar | $11.2 \pm 0.64$ | $14.5 \pm 0.29$ | $15.0 \pm 0.58$ | $9.8 \pm 0.83$ | $12.2 \pm 0.93$ | $12.6 \pm 1.45$ | $8.2 \pm 0.23$ | $7.5 \pm 0.37$ | $7.2 \pm 0.69$ | $2.2 \pm 0.06$ | $2.6 \pm 0.09$ | $3.0 \pm 0.09$ |
| Apr | $14.5 \pm 1.51$ | $17.6 \pm 1.31$ | $18.6 \pm 0.33$ | $10.1 \pm 0.44$ | $12.1 \pm 1.17$ | $14.6 \pm 1.2$ | $8.6 \pm 0.32$ | $8.4 \pm 0.12$ | $7.6 \pm 0.32$ | $3.0 \pm 0.06$ | $3.2 \pm 0.09$ | $3.5 \pm 0.09$ |
| May | $15.6 \pm 0.88$ | $17.6 \pm 0.67$ | $20.3 \pm 0.88$ | $14.3 \pm 0.67$ | $16.3 \pm 0.33$ | $19.0 \pm 0.58$ | $8.0 \pm 0.12$ | $7.5 \pm 0.33$ | $7.0 \pm 0.08$ | $2.4 \pm 0.26$ | $2.6 \pm 0.13$ | $3.3 \pm 0.18$ |
| Jun | $15.3 \pm 0.88$ | $17.3 \pm 0.33$ | $20.6 \pm 0.67$ | $14.3 \pm 0.88$ | $16 \pm 0.58$ | $18.3 \pm 0.67$ | $7.3 \pm 0.33$ | $6.8 \pm 0.19$ | $6.3 \pm 0.13$ | $2.7 \pm 0.15$ | $3.4 \pm 0.21$ | $3.9 \pm 0.58$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rang } \\ & \text { e } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \pm 0.37- \\ & 17.6 \pm 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \pm 0.73- \\ & 19 \pm 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \pm 0.73 \\ & 23.0 \pm 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 \pm 0.35- \\ & 16.6 \pm 0.33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.58- \\ & 18.0 \pm 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.2 \pm 1.27- \\ & 20.0 \pm 0.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0 \pm 0.88- \\ & 10.8 \pm 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.6 \pm 0.21- \\ & 9.7 \pm 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \pm 0.23- \\ & 9.5 \pm 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm 0.12- \\ & 3.2 \pm 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.7 \pm 0.06 \\ & - \\ & 3.6 \pm 0.08 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \pm 0.15- \\ & 4.3 \pm 0.08 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Mean | Alkalinity (mg/l) |  |  |  | $\mathbf{p H}$ |  | Turbidity (NTU) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 |
|  | $13.5 \pm 0.97$ | $18.2 \pm 2.61$ | $21.2 \pm 2.35$ | $7.0 \pm 0.03$ | $7.1 \pm 0.06$ | $7.2 \pm 0.12$ | $2.7 \pm 0.06$ | $3.0 \pm 0.33$ | $4.7 \pm 0.33$ |
| Aug | $13.7 \pm 0.55$ | $15.4 \pm 0.95$ | $18.4 \pm 0.19$ | $7.1 \pm 0.06$ | $7.1 \pm 0.17$ | $7.1 \pm 0.02$ | $7.5 \pm 0.39$ | $8.3 \pm 0.13$ | $9.5 \pm 0.09$ |
| Sep | $13.6 \pm 0.99$ | $15.8 \pm 0.17$ | $16.3 \pm 0.38$ | $7.4 \pm 0.13$ | $7.5 \pm 0.06$ | $7.5 \pm 0.03$ | $7.3 \pm 0.12$ | $8.1 \pm 0.37$ | $9.5 \pm 0.61$ |
| Oct | $15.5 \pm 0.5$ | $17.9 \pm 0.48$ | $21.4 \pm 0.45$ | $7.4 \pm 0.21$ | $7.5 \pm 0.12$ | $7.5 \pm 0.03$ | $1.0 \pm 0.09$ | $2.0 \pm 0.12$ | $1.8 \pm 0.31$ |
| Nov | $9.3 \pm 0.56$ | $13.5 \pm 0.85$ | $18.7 \pm 0.43$ | $7.4 \pm 0.09$ | $7.7 \pm 0.03$ | $7.6 \pm 0.07$ | $1.6 \pm 0.12$ | $2.0 \pm 0.12$ | $4.1 \pm 1.62$ |
| Dec | $6.2 \pm 0.23$ | $9.2 \pm 0.95$ | $13.1 \pm 0.19$ | $7.8 \pm 0.03$ | $7.6 \pm 0.03$ | $7.6 \pm 0.01$ | $0.4 \pm 0.09$ | $0.9 \pm 0.12$ | $1.5 \pm 0.06$ |
| Jan | $9.9 \pm 0.32$ | $12.6 \pm 0.49$ | $15.7 \pm 0.95$ | $7.6 \pm 0.19$ | $7.5 \pm 0.09$ | $7.5 \pm 0.03$ | $1.5 \pm 0.22$ | $2.4 \pm 0.06$ | $3.4 \pm 0.20$ |
| Feb | $13.5 \pm 0.32$ | $15.9 \pm 0.74$ | $17.6 \pm 0.64$ | $7.6 \pm 0.09$ | $7.6 \pm 0.03$ | $7.4 \pm 0.01$ | $1.3 \pm 0.33$ | $1.7 \pm 0.19$ | $2.2 \pm 0.15$ |
| Mar | $9.4 \pm 0.43$ | $16.6 \pm 0.88$ | $17.8 \pm 0.58$ | $7.3 \pm 0.15$ | $7.4 \pm 0.13$ | $7.4 \pm 0.01$ | $2.1 \pm 0.26$ | $2.4 \pm 0.19$ | $3.1 \pm 0.13$ |
| Apr | $12.6 \pm 0.15$ | $19.7 \pm 0.58$ | $23.9 \pm 0.46$ | $7.6 \pm 0.37$ | $7.5 \pm 0.35$ | $7.4 \pm 0.34$ | $2.2 \pm 0.41$ | $2.9 \pm 0.52$ | $3.3 \pm 0.57$ |
| May | $12.0 \pm 0.69$ | $22.0 \pm 0.87$ | $22.7 \pm 1.09$ | $7.4 \pm 0.03$ | $7.4 \pm 0.09$ | $7.1 \pm 0.08$ | $2.6 \pm 0.28$ | $3.6 \pm 0.22$ | $3.6 \pm 0.31$ |
| Jun | $23.3 \pm 0.62$ | $23.4 \pm 1.04$ | $26.7 \pm 0.79$ | $7.4 \pm 0.15$ | $7.3 \pm 0.06$ | $7.6 \pm 0.05$ | $2.6 \pm 0.37$ | $3.3 \pm 0.03$ | $4.5 \pm 0.17$ |
| Range | $6.2 \pm 0.23-$ | $9.2 \pm 0.95-$ | $13.1 \pm 0.19$ | $7.0 \pm 0.03$ | $7.1 \pm 0.06$ | $7.1 \pm 0.02$ | $0.4 \pm 0.097$ | $0.9 \pm 0.12$ | $1.5 \pm 0.06$ |
|  | $23.3 \pm 0.62$ | $23.4 \pm 1.04$ | $26.7 \pm 0.79$ | $7.8 \pm 0.03$ | $7.7 \pm 0.03$ | $7.6 \pm 0.07$ | $.5 \pm 0.39$ | $8.3 \pm 0.13$ | $-9.5 \pm 0.6$ |

Table 7. t-test among different physico-chemical parameters representing the variability between sites (Site 1 vs Site 2, Site 2 vs Site 3, and Site 1 vs Site 3).

|  | Air Temperature |  |  | Water Temperature |  |  | Dissolved Oxygen |  |  | Free $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  |  | Alkalinity |  |  | pH |  |  | Turbidity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Months | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site 1 } \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site 2 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site 2 } \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site 3 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Site } 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site } 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Site 1 vs Site 2 | Site 2 vs Site 3 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site } 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site } 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Site 1 vs Site 2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site 2 } \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site 3 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Site 1 vs Site 3 | Site 1 vs Site 2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site } 2 \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site } 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Site 1 vs Site 3 | Site 1 <br> vs <br> Site 2 <br> 1. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site 2 } \\ \text { Vs } \\ \text { Site 3 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Site 1 vs Site 3 | Site 1 vs Site 2 | Site 2 <br> vs <br> Site 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } 1 \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site } 3 \end{gathered}$ | Site 1 <br> vs <br> Site 2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Site } 2 \\ \text { vs } \\ \text { Site 3 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Site 1 vs Site 3 |
| Jul | 2.00 | 6.51** | 12.02*** | 2.00 | 2.45 | 5.00*** | 3.48* | 9.19*** | 13.79*** | 3.50 * | 5.61** | 8.75*** | 1.70 | 0.85 | 3.02* | 1.99 | 0.25 | 1.34 | 0.81 | 3.61* | 5.81** |
| Aug | 2.12 | 4.60* | 5.66** | 2.00 | 2.45 | 5.00*** | 2.59 | 3.41* | 6.57** | 6.74** | 0.61 | 7.35** | 1.49 | 3.16* | 8.15** | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.29 | 1.80 | 7.60** | 4.94** |
| Sep | 1.91 | 1.83 | 4.91** | 1.79 | 2.24 | 6.36** | 1.10 | 5.00** | 9.53*** | 4.43* | 2.41 | 7.27 | 2.19 | 1.34 | 2.62 | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 2.03 | 2.00 | 3.57 |
| Oct | 2.34 | 2.24 | 9.80*** | 1.40 | 1.60 | 3.06* | 0.74 | 1.97 | 2.70 | 3.13* | 2.18 | 2.97* | 3.48* | $5.30 * *$ | 8.8*** | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.49 | 8.41** | 0.61 | 2.53 |
| Nov | 3.48* | 4.43* | 6.68** | 5.00** | 2.48 | 5.38*** | 3.67* | 2.36 | 9.04*** | 1.86 | 5.73** | 5.30** | 4.09* | 5.48** | 13.26*** | 2.83* | 0.89 | 1.81 | 2.36 | 1.32 | 1.56 |
| Dec | 1.76 | 1.75 | 4.00* | 2.41 | 2.48 | 5.66** 1 | 11.66*** | 1.27 | 6.48** | 4.02* | 3.21* | 6.40** | 3.04* | 4.07* | 23.14*** | 3.54* | 1.99 | 4.24 | 3.53* | 4.25* | 81*** |
| Jan | 3.21* | 2.92* | 6.80** | 4.00* | 1.62 | 3.78* | 0.55 | 2.07 | 2.09 | 6.19** | 7.25 ** | $13.65^{* * *}$ | 4.71** | 2.86* | 5.81** | 0.49 | 0.35 | 0.71 | $3.65 *$ | 5.06** | 6.32** |
| Feb | 0.44 | 1.84 | 2.14 | 0.85 | 1.35 | 2.59 | 2.56 | 1.31 | 4.93** | $3.25 *$ | 5.97** | 7.25** | 2.94* | 1.78 | 5.77** | 0.36 | 1.39 | 1.57 | 2.46 | 1.98 | 6.24** |
| Mar | 4.70** | 0.67 | 4.35* | 1.94 | 0.23 | 1.69 | 1.60 | 0.38 | 1.37 | 4.11* | 3.21 * | 7.91** | 7.29** | 1.13 | 11.51*** | 0.66 | 0.20 | 0.55 | 0.98 | 2.92* | 3.34* |
| Apr | 1.58 | 0.73 | 2.71 | 1.60 | 1.49 | 3.52* | 1.37 | 1.18 | 2.06 | 2.21 | 2.41 | 5.06** | 11.89*** | 5.70** | 23.39*** | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 1.02 | 0.52 | 1.54 |
| May | 1.81 | 2.42 | 3.74* | 2.68 | 4.00* | 5.29** | 3.61* | 5.30 ** | 6.42** | 0.57 | 3.32* | 2.86* | 9.01*** | 0.47 | 8.22** | 0.32 | 2.01 | 3.18* | 2.71 | 0.08 | 2.23 |
| Jun | 2.12 | 4.47* | 4.82** | 1.58 | 2.65 | 3.62* | 1.47 | 1.94 | 2.92* | 2.50 | 2.31 | 7.25** | 0.08 | 2.58 | 3.46* | 0.61 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 1.90 | 6.62** | 4.60* |

$*, * *, * * *=$ Significance at $5 \%, 1 \%$ and $0.1 \%$ probability levels, respectively.
Psudecheneis sulcatus and Nemacheilus sp.) 1). Main cause is due to slight increase in average increases towards downriver but brown trout temperature towards lower portion of the river. This decreases from upriver to downriver gradient (Fig. pattern of distribution of native and non native fish
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species can be summarized in three parts. Brown trout showed its cold water dominance at high altitude. At site CBT 1 (Altitude: 2200m) other species were not found because there are very few connecting small catchment streams. Any connecting catchment stream also has a very steep gradient and the water temperature doesn't support other hilly stream fishes. Hence, only Brown trout survives at this site and above this altitude. In other sites below CBT 1 site, the connecting streams have hill stream fish species. These species (Schizothorax sp., Pseudecheneis sulcatus Mc., Nemacheilus sp. and Tor Chilinoides) were recorded to be coexisting with Brown trout in river Asiganga. Silsbee and Larson (1982) reported that the streams at higher elevation, especially the lowgradient sections, are colder in summer as described in stream of Rock Creek. Similarly, Bistoni et al. (2002) explained that the number of fish species increases along the downriver gradient and showed that changes in the fish assemblage composition of the eastern region of the province of Cordoba of Argentina. Every fish species has a specific thermal physiology and therefore its distribution is reflected by the spatial arrangement as per required temperature within a river network. The varied distribution pattern, one species replacing other along the altitudinal gradient is observed commonly in Mountain Rivers and streams throughout the world (Bozek and Hubert, 1992; Magoulick and Wilzbach, 1998; Taniguci and Nakano, 2000; McHugh and Budy, 2005). This phenomenon termed as altitudinal species zonation can also occur locally due to biotic and abiotic factor interaction. In one of the extensively studied species-Salmon, temperature mediated competition plays a vital role in its distribution pattern along altitude gradient of a fresh water system (Fausch et al. 1994; Franco and Budy, 2005; Mchugh and Budy, 2005). Second, the average number of Salmo trutta (Brown trout) in the main river was much greater, which provides greater potential for adult Brown trout to invade the tributaries lower down (Fig. 3). Our data suggests that Brown trout present in all the sampling site representing highest number (146 at site 1 followed by 103 at site 2,55 at site 3 and 50 at site CBT 1) at upper sites of river Asiganga (Table 2). Besides their numbers entire spectrum of fish population from size $0-10 \mathrm{~g}$ to over 350 g were sampled in each site. This suggests that
finding of Brown trout at all the sites are not just coincidence and that the fish just did not reaches there by chance (either due to wash off or just wandering off). Entire spectrum of population was present at each site so it is being suggested that they are properly establishing at their population at these locations. Breeding of Brown trout at these locations needs to be verified. Higher fish diversity was always observed at downstream sites but Brown trout population densities decreases downstream (Lobon-corvia et al. 1986; Maisse and Bagliniere, 1990; Rodrigues, 1995). It may be due to the increase in temperature toward the downstream. It is also hypothesized that species inhabiting higher elevations are superior competitors at lower temperature while species inhabiting lower altitude are better competitors at warmer temperature. Each species might be capable of surviving at all temperatures in the absence of competition (Taniguchi and Nakano, 2000). Brown trout is a native of cold stream and was the only species present at all sampling site, representing more than $50 \%$ of the total catch at upstream site. These results are in agreement with the previous finding and suggested that Brown trout is widespread in the basin of River Lima, Portugal (Valente, 1990, 1993; Goncalves, 1996). Trout population in the Michigan's Au Sable River, USA (United State of America) varied considerably over the study period at some sites; supported greater trout densities than other site (Zorn and Nuhter, 2007). In the present study the number of Brown trout on weight basis varied considerably with in tributaries sampled for population estimates analysis (Table 2). Highest number of BT was 53 recorded at Site 1 in $150-350 \mathrm{~g}$ weight category and lowest number (10) was observed at Site 2 in $<150 \mathrm{~g}$ and $>550 \mathrm{~g}$ weight category, respectively. The Brown trout in this manner establishes its population all along the river Asiganga gradient. Whereas, highest number of Schizothorax sp. (76) were observed in $<150 \mathrm{~g}$ weight category and the lowest number (1) were recorded in $>550 \mathrm{~g}$ weight category at Site 3 (down river) (Table 2). In the other studied tributaries and upstream of River Vade, mean estimated trout occurred in higher number in upstream stretches of River Vade and Estoraos, Portugal (Maia and Valente, 1999). In case of Brook Trout (Salvelinas fontinalis) is the native Salmonid species of stream in southern

Appalachian mountain, USA. It presently distributed from Head water to lower reaches of largest stream (Larson et al. 1995). It seems that Brown trout was slowly encroaching upon the Schizothorax sp. waters down the river. This study documented differences in the distributions of native Schizothorax sp. and non native Brown trout in different sections of river Asiganga. It has been documented that non native rainbow trout were present in Rock Creek river, USA from 1979 to 1993 and that the brook trout-rainbow trout associations was found related to differences in habitat in those stream sections (Moyle and Vondracek, 1985). Moreover, in Rock Creek river very much different observed by others (Larson and Moore, 1985; Larson et al. 1986) from those in other park streams. The data sets suggested the following facts: 1) At site CBT 1 only Brown trout population exist, 2) At site 1 (Sangamchatti) and site 2 (Rawara), Brown trout and Schizothorax sp. were present with Brown trout as dominating species and 3) At lowest site (site 3: Gangori) Schizothorax sp. was dominated species but entire population of Brown trout also exist. The focus of such studies has changed according to time with factors forming the patterns of species diversity and influence of human impact. Approaches include comparing and modeling species diversity patterns worldwide in relation to human-induced environmental factors, such as land use and climate change (Sala et al. 2000). Today, the main parameters of climate e.g., temperature and precipitation are changing rapidly (IPCC, 2007), with drastic consequences for ecosystems, such as species extinction, species shift, changes in species composition and phenologically driven mismatch (Walther et al. 2002; Parmesan, 2006). It is pertinent to understand the influence of temperature mediate distribution of species because of the evident threat to endemic native fish population. The taxonomic groups analyzed the methods used and the goals in altitudinal gradient studies vary greatly, as do the results of our studies. Many species groups have been studied: endemic species, exotic species, native species and benthic macro invertebrates. The altitudinal variation is a norm at higher elevation where river always flows from upper to lower part of mountain or hilly terrain. The species could be occurred more at higher elevation and other species at lower down the slope. But in
our study, an entire population of Brown trout was found and individuals of all the sizes were sampled at all the sites. The possible reason is that these individuals might be washout due to excessive rainfall and flood. But all sizes of Brown trout at all location suggest occurrence of population as a whole and not the single individuals. Our results also suggest and concluded that Brown trout might be shifting and invading down the river locations as climatic variation and food availability are already present in abundance down the river gradient. Thus, Brown trout might be attempting to colonizes and invade river and is probably exerting dominance over the native fish species i.e., Schizothorax sp. Our results also suggested that physico-chemical factors such as temperature and dissolved oxygen were found in suitable range for colonization of Brown trout and spreads effectively over the total range and even lower altitude of river. This conclusion also supported the observation made by Harvey and Stewart (1991) that stated the largest individuals of several fish species were the first to colonize newly created pool in small stream in eastern Tennesse. Our study suggests that Brown trout is slowly expanding to other places from point of introduction and this should be of conservation concern from the point of view of native Schizothorax sp. found in the lower region of river Asiganga. Small invertebrates are functionally important in many terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Wilson, 1992; Freckman et al. 1997; Palmer, 1997; Postel and Carpenter 1997). In freshwater sediments, benthic invertebrates are diverse and abundant, but they are often patchily distributed and relatively difficult to sample, especially when they live in deep subsurface sediments (Covich, 1999). In the present study diversity of macrobenthos was assessed in river Asiganga. The larvae of water insects are the main component of Brown trout food. Also, most of them belong to the class insecta and most preferable were substrate surface or active drifting preys such as Simulids, and classify as order Ephemeroptera (Ephemerella, Baetis), Trichoptera and Diptera. Further the classifications of macro benthos (genus wise) on average density were represented in Figure 5. Throughout the three year from 2009-10 to 2011-12 highest density of order Trichoptera were found in all months; mostly in
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was observed for Ephemeroptera and Diptera. Also at site 3, total density of benthos were found highest followed by site 2 and smaller density at site 1. It could be concluded that both Ephemeropterans and Trichopterans were present in abundance. Kazlauskas (1963) mentioned Ephemeropterans (both larvae and imago) as predominant food for Brown trout in the eastern part of Lithuania, with Trichopterans as an additional food source. The physico-chemical characteristics of water are important determinant of the aquatic life system. Their characteristics are greatly influenced by climatic condition, vegetation and general composition of water. The present study was carried out from July -2009 to June2012 at Asiganga river; one of the tributary of Bhagirathi river. However, change in temperature (surface and depth) and alkalinity are present between site 1 -site 3 . The main factor is altitude
along the downward gradient of river. Also, the anthropogenic pressure at Gangori (site 3) is quite high due to rampant encroachment at stream banks in the form of shops, hotels, construction, road enlargement, tourism etc. Simultaneously from site 1 to site 3 much small influxes in the form of pollutes, garbage, salts and precipitates of inorganic matters joined the river downward. It causes change in ionic balance of the river particularly at Gangori. The runoff material from these instruments causes degradation of water quality at Gangori. Ultimately it affects the physico-chemical parameters of the river. The physico-chemical parameters are discussed here as below: Water temperature is one of the most important physical parameters, which controls the physiological activities and distribution of biota. For example in our results; site 1 has range from $4.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $16.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, site 2 has range from $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for site 3 range from $9.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Benthos


Benthos


Figure 5. Genus wise average density of macrobenthos. Site 1: Maximum: Leptocella (41.975); Minimum: Agapetus (3.987); Site 2: Maximum: Leptocella (117.646); Minimum: Ephemerella and Agapetus (2.307) and Site 3: Maximum: Leptocella (209.918); Minimum: Agapetus (3.075).

Cadwallader (1996) reported that Brown trout can survive in downstream and optimum temperature range varies from $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Brown trout could be survived at downstream (high temperature i.e., $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) due to its tolerance power and adaptability to local conditions of the habitat. Similar findings were observed by Jhingran and Sehgal (1978) for fingerlings and higher trout fishes as tolerance range of temperature was from $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Thus, keeping in view the global hotspot nature of the study area, a clear redefining of policy would be required. The agencies involved in introduction of exotic species in several rivers of Uttarakhand (India) should take extreme precaution on the long term impact of these introduction and the threat native species.
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