

Effect of different organic inputs on growth and yield of Soybean (*Glycine* max. L) under mountainous conditions of Himachal Pradesh

Awasthi N. 🖂, Upadhyay R. G., Singh A., Kumar R. and Sharma G. D.

Received: 01.09.2020

Revised: 14.10.2020

Accepted: 23.10.2020

Abstract

Current study was conducted to evaluate the influence of organic and zero budget natural farming on growth and yield of Soybean (*Glycine max. L*) under mountainous conditions of Himachal Pradesh. During the experimental period the variety of Soybean (*Palam Soya*) was sowed with eight treatments and three replications. The treatments consist of T_1 -FYM-10t/ha+*Rhizobium*, T_2 -Vermicompost-7.5t/ha+*Rhizobium*, T_3 - FYM- 5 t/ha + vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + *Rhizobium*- T_4 -Natural farming (*Ghanjivamrit*+*Jivamrit*+*Bijamrit*), T_5 -FYM-10t/ha + vermiwash -3 spray, T_6 -Vermicompost-10t/ha +vermiwash - 3 spray, T_7 - *Ghanjivamrit*-125 kg/ha and T_8 - FYM -5t/ha + *ghanjivamrit* - 125kg/ha with Randomized Block Design (RBD). During the course of study significantly highest plant height (cm), number of leaves, number of branches at various growth stages, days taken to 50 percent flowering and maturity were influenced by vermicompost along with three sprays of vermiwash. The significantly highest biological yield (2409.09kg /ha), seed yield (825 kg/ ha) and yield attributes of Soybean crop were recorded in the treatment T_6 -Vermicompost @ 10t/ha + 3 sprays of vermiwash during the experiment.

Key Words: Crop growth parameters, Organic farming, Soybean (Glycine max), Randomized block design (RBD), Farm yard manure (FYM)

Introduction

Soybean is one of the best leading crop commodities produced, traded and utilized globally. Barring some small portion, the bulk of it needs industrial processing and value addition. Besides its numerous uses, soybean is predominantly used as meal typically as source of high protein for animal feed and as edible oil. Soil organic matter plays indispensable role in some processes of the soil ecosystem including nutrient cycling, soil formation, carbon sequestration, retention of water and supply of energy to microorganisms (Lakaria et al., 2011). The organically growing foods have recently gained importance in developed and developing nations with the arising awareness about adverse effects of chemicals releases from agriculture on human health. The pronounced demand for organic growing food has increased worldwide which has led to increased interest in organic agriculture (Follet et al., 1981). At the time of cultivation of organically

Author's Address College of Basic Sciences, CSKHPKV, Palampur-176062 Himachal Pradesh, India E-mail.: awasthineha888@gmail.com

growing crops, chemical usage is excluded at all stages so organic farming mainly provides the double benefit of quality of soil improvement and chemical free organic products (Gomiero et al., 2008). The organic matter of soil is the single most important constituent that affects the fertility of soil, formation of soil and other properties of soil in turn reflects in to better crop yield (Walker et al., 2004). The nitrogen and potassium content is higher in the vermicompost as compared to the vermiwash. However, the content of phosphorus was higher in the vermiwash as compared to the vermicompost. Vermiwash is a bio-fertilizer obtained from vermicomposting waste corn pulp blended with manure of cow dung. The pH and electrical conductivity is higher in the vermicompost as compared to the vermiwash. The vermiwash is richer in Ca and Mg as compared to the vermicompost. The vermiwash is rich in sodium content as compared to the vermicompost (Manvuchi et al., 2013). It increases the disease resistant capacity of crop (Yadav et al., 2005). It has been widely documented that soybean seed



constituents are not only genetically controlled, but affected by abiotic and biotic factors, such as the planting date, temperature, water conditions, soil conditions, agronomic practices, and diseases (Helms and Orff, 1998). In the present study experiment was conducted with an objective to see the effect of various treatments related to organic and zero budget natural agriculture application on health of soil and production of Soybean (*Glycine max L.*) crop.

Material and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during June-September 2019 at the Research farm of Department of Organic Agriculture and Natural farming, CSKHPKV, Palampur (H.P.) to evaluate the influence of organic and zero budget natural agriculture on growth and yield of Soybean (Glycine max. L) under mountainous conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Soil of experimental field was silty, clayey and loamy in nature. It was also found high in organic carbon, slightly acidic with medium content of nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P) and high content of potassium (K). The trial consists of treatments T₁-FYMeight viz.. 10t/ha+Rhizobium,T2Vermicompost-

7.5t/ha+Rhizobium,T₃-FYM-5t/ha+vermicompost-3.75t/ha+Rhizobium,T₄-Natural farming (Ghanjivamrit+Jivamrit+Bijamrit), T_5 -FYM-10t/ha + vermiwash -3 spray, T₆ -Vermicompost-10t/ha +vermiwash - 3 spray, T7 - Ghanjivamrit-125 kg/ha and T_8 – FYM -5t/ha + ghanjivamrit – 125kg/ha . The experiment was laid out in RBD with three replications. For experimental purpose 24 plots of equal size $(3x3m^2)$ were prepared with the help of different composition of organic compost under eight treatments. The seeds of Soybean (Palam Soya variety) were sown and were used to record the morphological observations at various growth stages. The height of plant was measured in centimeters with the help of meter rod. The height of plant, branches per plant and leaves per plant were recorded at various growth stages while days to flowering and maturity in Soybean crop were recorded on the basis of visual observation. The plant/m², pods per plant, grain per pod, test weight, seed yield per plant, seed yield (kg /ha) and biological yield (kg/ ha) were recorded at the time of harvest. The harvest index of soybean

(table 5.2) was calculated by method given by Donald and Hamblin (1976).

Results and Discussion

Morphological parameters like height of plant differed significantly due to application of different nutrient management treatments at all stages. Significantly highest plant height was recorded at 30,60, 90 and harvest (Table 1) with the application of T₆- Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray and lowest in T_7 -*Ghanjivamrit* - 125 kg/ha (Table 1) due to maximum availability of nutrients in vermicompost and vermiwash as well as better uptake of nutrients from soil and translocation of photosynthates in different parts of plants .The T₆- Vermicompost -10 t/ha application of - 3 Spray + vermiwash recorded significantly higher number of branches per plant at all stages of development over the application of T_7 -*Ghanjivamrit* - 125 kg/ha (Table 2). Organic manures found to improve the soil physical properties, which provide health and favourable soil conditions to enhance nutrient use efficiency, which leads to better vegetative growth of the plant. The significant improvement in number of leaves were recorded in T_6 -Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray followed by T₅ and T₄. However, the treatment T₇-Ghanjivamrit -125 kg/ha recorded significantly lower number of leaves compared to all other treatments (Table 3). Organic manures enhance the nutrient balance and maintain the soil fertility of the particular area, which leads to more N uptake and photosynthetic activity. The days taken to flowering and maturity of soybean crop affected by the application of different organic manure and farming practices. Application of vermicompost 10 t/ha along with the three spray of vermiwash recorded significantly early flowering (48.38 days) followed by application of T_5 (47.38 days). However the number of days taken to maturity by application of T_7 (56.17) was much larger as compared to all other treatments (Table 4). Similar results were obtained by Aruna and Reddy (1999), and Soni and Vyas (1984). The yield and yield components were influenced by organic manures and natural farming (Table 5.1 and 5.2). Higher amount of pods per



Effect of organic and zero budget natural farming

Treatments	Plant height (cm) Days after sowing (DAS)			
	30	60	90	At Harvest
T ₁ - FYM-10 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	23.8	40.12	50.20	52.14
T ₂ - Vermicompost - 7.5 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	23.9	41.23	53.21	54.25
T ₃ - FYM- 5 t/ha + Vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	24.2	44.32	52.44	53.20
T ₄ - Natural farming (<i>ghanjivamrit</i> + <i>jivamrit</i> + <i>bijamrit</i>)	23.8	43.05	51.24	53.21
T ₅ - FYM -10 t/ha + vermiwash – 3 Spray	24.3	44.50	53.71	55.81
T ₆ - Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray	25.4	46.80	57.23	56.90
T ₇ - Ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha	23.1	39.22	47.21	48.72
T ₈ - FYM -5t/ha+ ghanjivamrit- 125 kg/ha	23.3	43.4	49.58	50.84
$SEm \pm$	NS	0.91	1.20	1.24
CD at 5 %	NS	2.94	3.70	3.64

Table 1. Effect	of various levels of o	rganic manures and	l natural farming	system on Plant h	eight (cm)

Table 2. Effect of various levels of organic manures and natural on number of branches per plant

Treatments	No. of Branches /plant Days after sowing (DAS)				
	30	60	90	At Harvest	
T ₁ - FYM-10 t/ha + rhizobium	5.4	5.9	5.7	5.0	
T ₂ - Vermicompost - 7.5 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	5.2	4.0	5.6	5.2	
T ₃ - FYM- 5 t/ha + Vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	5.6	7.1	5.4	5.1	
T ₄ - Natural farming (<i>ghanjivamrit</i> + <i>jivamrit</i> + <i>bijamrit</i>)	5.2	5.0	5.6	6.9	
T ₅ - FYM -10 t/ha + vermiwash – 3 Spray	5.0	8.0	7.6	7.2	
T ₆ - Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray	5.8	10.0	9.6	12.0	
T ₇ - Ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha	5.0	4.0	4.9	4.5	
T ₈ - FYM -5t/ha+ ghanjivamrit- 125 kg/ha	5.3	6.0	5.9	5.3	
SEm ±	NS	0.09	0.11	0.085	
CD at 5 %	NS	0.280	0.346	0.294	

Table 3. Effect of various levels of organic manures and natural farming on number of leaves per plant

Treatments	No. of Leaves /plant Days after sowing (DAS)				
	30	60	90	At Harvest	
T ₁ - FYM-10 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	8.4	30.4	20.3	7.2	
T ₂ - Vermicompost - 7.5 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	9.1	32.1	20.2	8.6	
T ₃ - FYM- 5 t/ha + Vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	9.6	30.8	19.4	9.1	
T ₄ - Natural farming (<i>ghanjivamrit</i> + <i>jivamrit</i> + <i>bijamrit</i>)	10.8	34.1	18.9	8.7	
T ₅ - FYM -10 t/ha + vermiwash – 3 Spray	11.4	34.8	20.8	10.6	
T ₆ - Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray	12.6	35.2	22.4	11.4	
T ₇ - Ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha	7.6	27.0	16.4	6.3	
T ₈ - FYM -5t/ha+ ghanjivamrit- 125 kg/ha	7.9	31.6	18.2	7.4	
SEm ±	0.23	0.68	0.51	0.23	
CD at 5 %	0.79	2.84	1.71	0.81	

plant (54.35) were recorded in the application of maturity due to lesser supply of photosynthates T_6 - Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray while lower amount of pods (33.21) were recorded in the treatment T₇- Ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha. The lowest number of pods might be a result of nutrients at the late stages of crop which in legumes, usually result in pod shedding before

towards the pods because of drying and senescence of leaves. Significantly the highest biological yield was (2409.09kg/ha) recorded in the application of vermicompost 10 t/ha in treatment T6 However, the lowest biological yield was (1625.00 kg/ha) recorded in treatment T₇ -



ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha. The application of vermiwash recorded Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 spray

recorded significantly highest number of grain per pod (12.0) over the application of T_7 -Ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha.

Significantly the highest seed yield per plant was (41.25g) recorded in the T_6 vermicompost 10 t/ha with three sprays of vermiwash. However the lowest seed yield per plant (26.75 g) was recorded in the treatment T₇-ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha. The significantly highest test weight was (173.22 g) recorded vermicompost in T₆-10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 spray as compared to all other treatments. However, the lowest test weight was (140.22g) recorded in the treatment T_7 ghanjivamrit -125 kg/ha .Application vermicompost along with the

significantly higher seed yield per hectare in T_6 (825.0kg/ha) However, treatment T₇ -ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha recorded lowest seed yield (520.0kg/ha) as comparison to all The overall growth other treatments. and development of crop is reflected in the development of yield contributing characters which affect the final yield of the crop as these parameters are positively correlated to seed yield. Yield is the and synthesis outcome of physiological biochemical process. Significantly highest harvest index was (0.36%) recorded in T₆- vermicompost 10 t/ha with three spray of vermiwash .However, the lowest was (0.29%) recorded in treatment T₇ ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha. Similar results were also of observed by several researchers (More *et al.*, 2008; three spray of Pattanshetti et al., 2002; Rana and Badiyala, 2014).

Table 4. Effect of various levels of Organic manures and natural farming on days taken to 50% flowering and days taken to maturity

Treatments	Days taken to mat urity	
T ₁ - FYM-10 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	152.52	54.22
T ₂ - Vermicompost - 7.5 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	146.25	53.22
T ₃ - FYM- 5 t/ha + vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	148.25	53.25
T ₄ - Natural farming (ghanjivamrit + jivamrit + bijamrit)	143.85	54.21
T ₅ - FYM -10 t/ha + vermiwash – 3 Spray	139.82	49.38
T ₆ - Vermicompost -10 t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray	135.85	48.38
T ₇ - Ghanjivamrit - 125 kg/ha	155.25	56.17
T ₈ - FYM -5t/ha+ <i>ghanjivamrit</i> - 125 kg/ha	155.55	53.22
SEm ±	0.43	0.32
CD at 5 %	1.52	0.98

 Table 5.1. Effect of various levels of organic manures and natural farming yield and yield components

Treatments	No. of pods per plant	No. of grain per pod	Test we ight (g)	Seed yield per plant
T ₁ - FYM-10 t/ha + <i>Rhizobium</i>	43.85	7.50	156.35	29.10
T ₂ - Vermicompost - 7.5 t/ha + <i>Rhizobium</i>	45.47	7.80	166.96	39.75
T ₃ - FYM- 5 t/ha + Vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + <i>Rhizobium</i>	48.45	9.00	167.25	39.75
T ₄ -Natural farming (Ghanjivamrit + Jivamrit + Bijamrit	41.66	7.90	163.96	26.80
T ₅ - FYM -10 t/ha + Vermiwash – 3 Spray	49.35	11.00	170.33	38.40
T ₆ - Vermicompost -10t/ha + Vermiwash- 3 Spray	54.35	12.00	173.22	41.25
T ₇ - Ghanjivamrit-125kg/ha	33.21	6.8	140.20	26.75
T ₈ - FYM-5t/ha+ Ghanjivamrit- 125 kg/ha	45.75	8.20	149.35	34.45
SEm <u>+</u>	0.78	0.31	0.80	0.61
CD at 5 %	2.78	0.97	2.69	2.03



Treatments	Seed yield	Seed yield Biological	
	(kg/ ha)	yield (kg/ ha)	Index (%)
T ₁ - FYM-10 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	582.0	1877.42	0.31
T ₂ - Vermicompost - 7.5 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	795.0	2194.0	0.33
T ₃ - FYM- 5t/ha + vermicompost -3.75 t/ha + <i>rhizobium</i>	795.0	2291.0	0.34
T ₄ - Natural farming (<i>ghanjivamrit</i> + <i>jivamrit</i> + <i>bijamrit</i>)	535.0	1844.83	0.32
T ₅ - FYM -10 t/ha + vermiwash – 3 Spray	768.0	2338.38	0.35
T ₆ - Vermicompost -10t/ha + vermiwash- 3 Spray	825.0	2409.09	0.36
T ₇ - Ghanjivamrit-125kg/ha	520.0	1625.00	0.29
T ₈ - FYM -5t/ha+ ghanjivamrit- 125 kg/ha	689.0	2153.13	0.32
SEm <u>+</u>	1.43	1.48	0.03
CD at 5 %	4.59	5.21	NS

Table 5.2 Effect of various levels of organic manures and natural farming yield on seed yield (kg/ha), Biological yield(kg/ha) and harvest index(%)

Conclusion

Different growth parameters, yield attributes, seed yield, biological yield, test weight and harvest Index of soybean were improved significantly with

the application of vermicompost @ 10t/ha along with 3 sprays of vermiwash as compared to other treatments under mountainous conditions of Himachal Pradesh.

References

- Aruna and Reddy, N. S. 1999. Response of soybean (Glycine max) to conjunctive use of organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 69(5): 382-383.
- Donald, C. M. and Hamblin, J. 1976. *The biological yield and harvest index of cereals as agronomic and plant breeding criteria*. *Advances in Agronomy*, 28(3): 361-405.
- Follet, R., Donahue, R. and Murphy, L. 1981. Soil and soil amendments. Prentice hall Inc, New Jersey.
- Gomiero, T., Paoletti, M. G. and Pimentel, D. 2008. Energy and environmental issues in organic and conventional agriculture. *CRC Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 27: 239–254.
- Helms, T. C. and Orff, J. H. 1998. Protein, oil, and yield of soybean lines selected for increased protein. *Crop Science*, 38:707–711.
- Lakaria, B. L., Jha, P. and Biswas, A. K. 2011. Soil carbon dynamics under long term use of organic manures. In: Singh, A. B., Sammi, Reddy, K., Manna, M. C. and Subba, Rao, A. (Eds.), Recycling Organic Wastes for Soil Health and Productivity, Agrotech Publishing Academy, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India, 83-93.
- Manyuchi, M. M., Phiri, A., Muredzi, P. and Chitambwe, T. 2013. Comparison of vermicompost and vermiwashbiofertilizers from vermicomposting waste corn pulp. In Proceedings of *World Academy of Science* , *Engineering and Technology (WASET)*, 78: 346.

- More, S. R., Mendhe, S. N., Kolte H. S., Yenpediwar, M. D. and Choudhary, R. L. 2008. Growth and yield attributes of soybean as influenced by nutrient management. *Journal of Soils and Crops*, 18(1): 154-157.
- Pattanshetti, V. A., Agasimani, C. A. and Babalad, H. B. 2002. Effect of manures and fertilizers on yield of maize and soybean under intercropping. *Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities*, 27(2): 206-207.
- Rana, R. and Badiyala, D. 2014. Effect of integrated nutrient management on seed yield, quality and nutrient uptake of soybean (Glycine max) under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(4): 641-645.
- Soni, J. C. and Vyas, M. D. 1984. Effect of different, levels of phosphate on the growth, yield and quality of new promising varieties of soybean. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, JNKVV, Jabalpur.
- Walker, D. J., Clemente, R. and Bernal, M. P. 2004. Contrasting effects of manure and compost on soil pH, heavy metal availability and growth of Chenopodium album L. in a soil contaminated by pyritic mine waste. *Chemosphere*, S7: 215-224.
- Yadav, A. K., Kumar, K., Singh, S. and Sharma, M. 2005. Vermiwash: A liquid bio fertilizer. *Uttar Pradesh Journal* of *Zoology*, 25(1): 97-99.

